# In the Matter Of: The Chippewas of Saugeen First Nation et al. v. Attorney General of Canada et al

VOL 26 DAY 26 July 12, 2019



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	Court File No. 94-CQ-50872CM
2	ONTARIO
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
4	BETWEEN:
5	THE CHIPPEWAS OF SAUGEEN FIRST NATION, and THE CHIPPEWAS OF NAWASH FIRST NATION
6	CHIPPEWAS OF NAWASH FIRST NATION Plaintiffs - and -
7	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO, THE
8	CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF GREY, THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF BRUCE, THE
9	CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF BRUCE, THE  CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF NORTHERN  BRUCE PENINSULA, THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF
10	SOUTH BRUCE PENINSULA, THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF TOWN OF SAUGEEN SHORES, and THE CORPORATION OF
11	THE TOWNSHIP OF GEORGIAN BLUFFS  Defendants
12	Derendanes
13	Court File No. 03-CV-261134CM1
14	AND BETWEEN:
15	CHIPPEWAS OF NAWASH UNCEDED FIRST NATION and SAUGEEN FIRST NATION
16	Plaintiffs - and -
17	THE, ATTORNEY GENERAL, OF CANADA and HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO
18	Defendants
19	
20	
21	This is VOLUME 26 / DAY 26 of the transcript of the trial proceedings in the
22	above-noted matter, being held at the Superior Court of Justice, 330 University Avenue,
23	Courtroom 5-1, Toronto, Ontario, on the 12th day of July 2019.
24	B E F O R E:
25	The Honourable Justice Wendy M. Matheson

	102 20 27 11 20 011 0dily 12   20 10	
1	APPEARANCES:	Page 2560
2	Cathy Guirguis, Esq.,	for the Plaintiffs,
3	& Renee Pelletier, Esq.,	The Chippewas of
4	& H.W. Roger Townshend, Esq.	Saugeen First
5	& Benjamin Brookwell, Esq.,	Nation, and the
6	& Chris Evans, Esq.,	Chippewas of Nawash
7		First Nation.
8		
9	Michael Beggs, Esq.,	for the Defendant,
10	& Michael McCulloch, Esq.,	Attorney General
11	& Barry Ennis, Esq.,	of Canada.
12		
13		
14	David Feliciant, Esq.,	for the Defendant,
15	& Jennifer Le Pan, Esq.,	Her Majesty the
16	& Richard Ogden, Esq.,	Queen in Right of
17	& Julia McRandall, Esq.,	Ontario.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	VOL 20 DAT 20 011 3019 12, 2019	Da ::- 0504
1	INDEX	Page 2561
2	PA	GE
3	Discussion re. the pending motion25	63
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		20 011 0aly 12, 2010			Page 2562
1		INDEX	OF	EXHIBITS	Fage 2502
2	NO./	DESCRIPTION			PAGE
3					
4	NONE	MARKED.			
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		Page 2563
09:33:11	1	Upon commencing at 10:02 a.m.
10:03:10	2	THE COURT: Morning, Mr. Beggs.
10:03:12	3	MR. BEGGS: Morning, Your Honour.
10:03:19	4	THE COURT: Before you begin your
10:03:20	5	motion I will confirm I have received the
10:03:22	6	material from both sides. In your case the
10:03:24	7	factum, motion record, book of authorities, and
10:03:28	8	updated report of your expert witness. Is there
10:03:31	9	anything else I should have received from you,
10:03:32	10	sir?
10:03:33	11	MR. BEGGS: Not for the motion, Your
10:03:34	12	Honour.
10:03:36	13	THE COURT: I think for the voir dire
10:03:38	14	I just have a second book of authorities, is
10:03:40	15	that correct?
10:03:41	16	MR. BEGGS: That's correct, Your
10:03:42	17	Honour.
10:04:18	18	THE COURT: Who is doing the motion
10:04:19	19	for the plaintiffs?
10:04:22	20	MS. PELLETIER: I am, Your Honour.
10:04:23	21	THE COURT: Ms. Guirguis.
10:04:25	22	MS. PELLETIER: Pelletier.
10:04:26	23	THE COURT: Sorry. Right.
10:04:29	24	Ms. Pelletier. Didn't see your name.
10:04:32	25	MS. PELLETIER: Morning.

		Page 2564
10:04:33	1	THE COURT: All right.
10:04:34	2	MS. PELLETIER: Ms. Guirguis will be
10:04:35	3	doing the voir dire.
10:04:44	4	THE COURT: I knew one of you was
10:04:44	5	doing each of them.
10:04:54	6	Just while my computer is starting,
10:04:56	7	I'll just go through, Ms. Pelletier. So in your
10:05:00	8	case I have a compendium, a three-volume record,
10:05:03	9	a factum, a book of authorities and a
10:05:07	10	supplementary case that came by e-mail.
10:05:10	11	MS. PELLETIER: That should be it,
10:05:11	12	Your Honour.
10:05:13	13	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
10:05:21	14	Mr. Beggs, please go ahead.
10:05:25	15	MR. BEGGS: Thank you, Your Honour.
10:05:25	16	As you know, this morning is a motion pursuant
10:05:32	17	to Rule 53.08(1), seeking leave, if necessary,
10:05:38	18	for the filing of the larger or full
10:05:47	19	Dr. von Gernet report.
10:05:52	20	As my friend pointed out, and she can
10:05:56	21	speak to it as she wishes, but we provided a
10:05:59	22	revised copy of that report earlier this week, I
10:06:06	23	think. And so she's only had the opportunity to
10:06:10	24	review mostly the 2018 report and so most of the
10:06:15	25	discussion will pertain to that 2018 report,

except as noted by Your Honour.

10:06:18

10:06:21

10:06:21

10:06:25

10:06:27

10:06:30

10:06:33

10:06:35

10:06:37

10:06:38

10:06:42

10:06:46

10:06:49

10:06:54

10:06:57

10:07:02

10:07:04

10:07:06

10:07:09

10:07:17

10:07:18

10:07:21

10:07:23

10:07:25

10:07:28

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, you know, I don't want to waste everyone's time, which is what it starts to feel like if we're going to have a motion over a report that is not the report that you're asking the court to give you leave for.

So, if that's the situation then we're going to have to deal with it right now because there is no point to it.

Now, having received Ms. -- the letter was Ms. Pelletier's letter, I noticed that at the very, very beginning of that report was a discussion about the reasons for which an updated report was prepared. And, counsel, I understand that that should be discussed this morning; but if what you're saying is that you're not making a motion on the report you want leave for then we better stop right now.

So what is your position?

MS. PELLETIER: Your Honour, Mr. Beggs and I had a chance to e-mail about this yesterday. And what I would propose be the appropriate way to go forward is to have the motion be about the October 2018 report, for a few reasons.

Page 2566 10:07:29 Number one, it's the one that we 1 2 10:07:31 prepared our materials to respond to; but also, just practically speaking, the first argument 10:07:34 3 10:07:37 4 that Canada's raising is that the report is not 10:07:39 5 late and that it is in reply to McCarthy. 10:07:43 Should Your Honour wish to actually 6 get into the weeds of that and take a look at 10:07:44 7 10:07:47 the report to determine whether or not it is 8 10:07:48 9 responsive to McCarthy, I'm not sure how you can 10:07:52 10 do that by looking at the 2019 report. 10:07:55 11 from a practical perspective that poses a 10:07:59 12 problem. 10:07:59 13 But I would think that the appropriate 10:08:01 14 way to go forward, and I believe Mr. Beggs 10:08:04 15 agrees with me, would be for Canada to seek 10:08:06 leave -- or to seek the enter the 2018 report. 16 10:08:09 17 Should that be granted then it is up to Canada 10:08:12 to seek leave to file an amended report, being 18 the 2019 report. 10:08:14 19 10:08:20 20 Then we have two motions, THE COURT: 10:08:21 which is inefficient, so I can't imagine. 21 22 10:08:23 MS. PELLETIER: I would hope that we 10:08:25 23 could deal with the leave to file an amended 10:08:26 24 report, in the event that they get the first 10:08:27 25 report in, perhaps on consent, but I'm afraid I

		Da ::: 0507
10:08:30	1	Page 2567 can't commit to that because I haven't had a
10:08:32	2	chance to review the 2019 the report in detail.
10:08:36	3	THE COURT: Why is it that well,
10:08:37	4	maybe this is for Mr. Beggs and not you, but why
10:08:37	5	is it that we should deal with this today in
10:08:43	6	that situation?
10:08:44	7	I mean, I completely understand
10:08:45	8	because I have, you know, done this with the new
10:08:47	9	report and it does not indicate in any visual
10:08:51	10	way what's been changed, and it's 300 pages
10:08:55	11	long. So, no, I do not expect that you would
10:08:57	12	have had a chance, short of asking Mr. Beggs for
10:09:00	13	a black-line, which I presume is open to you.
10:09:04	14	MS. PELLETIER: Which I was going to
10:09:04	15	do, yes.
10:09:05	16	THE COURT: To familiarize yourself
10:09:07	17	with the comprehensive changes because there's
10:09:09	18	no way to see them, so that's fine.
10:09:13	19	But I'm very reluctant to have a
10:09:16	20	significant ruling on what will turn out to be
10:09:18	21	an academic issue.
10:09:24	22	MS. PELLETIER: Well, I would imagine,
10:09:24	23	Your Honour, that in the event the 2018 report
10:09:26	24	gets in, the only issue that remains is what
10:09:30	25	version of that gets filed? Presumably if it's

10:09:35

10:09:38

10:09:42

10:09:45

10:09:49

10:09:50

10:09:52

10:09:55

10:09:57

10:10:00

10:10:03

10:10:10

10:10:14

10:10:20

10:10:23

10:10:26

10:10:29

10:10:32

10:10:37

10:10:39

10:10:42

10:10:44

10:10:47

10:10:50

10:10:53

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 2568

not -- if after having had a closer review of the 2019 report the plaintiffs take issue with some parts of it we can address that. I can't imagine that it's going to result in no report getting filed.

THE COURT: Have a seat because I really should be examining Mr. Beggs.

Mr. Beggs, here's my concern. Just as it does not surprise me that plaintiff's counsel have not had enough time to properly review this updated report, it also does not surprise me that, as discussed in the opening section of the new report, where it is directly addressed why there is a new report, it does not surprise me to read, as I did in that section, that this gentleman's old report was based on other old reports which have since been themselves changed, or removed, or updated and are no longer part of this trial.

So if I read that section of your expert report correctly, what he said, among other things, was that he wrote his 2018 report in response to a report by Dr. McCarthy, or excuse me, Professor McCarthy, who has now testified in this trial and a different report

from her is in evidence here.

10:10:55

10:10:58

10:11:00

10:11:02

10:11:05

10:11:08

10:11:12

10:11:15

10:11:17

10:11:19

10:11:22

10:11:24

10:11:27

10:11:29

10:11:32

10:11:36

10:11:39

10:11:44

10:11:47

10:11:50

10:11:52

10:11:54

10:11:59

10:12:02

10:12:07

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the significance of that is unknown because the plaintiffs haven't had a chance to look it over.

So the thing I'm concerned about is why are we having this motion over an old report, based on other old reports?

The issue for me -- as I understand it under the Rules there's two issues. One is. were you late with the old report? But the other, and the one that the parties have spent the most time on is, if you were late, or if this new report is late, there's a test under the Rules under which I will consider whether or not you can go ahead. And that test is not considered in hindsight back in 2018 it is considered as of today; and as of the evidence in this trial now; and as of the report of Professor McCarthy that was made an exhibit in this court a month ago, not what the situation was in October of last year.

So how is it helpful to have a motion over the old report, which is not what you intend to use in this trial at this time anyway?

I'm not saying you can't have a motion I'm just

		Page 2570
10:12:10	1	puzzled about how we should be going ahead with
10:12:13	2	it now.
10:12:14	3	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour.
10:12:15	4	THE COURT: Unless the parties
10:12:15	5	sorry, I'm going to continue. Unless the
10:12:18	6	parties have agreed that it can be based on the
10:12:20	7	new report, which has not been agreed, as I
10:12:23	8	understand it, from plaintiff's counsel.
10:12:26	9	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour. All of
10:12:27	10	what you've said is correct. And in light of
10:12:35	11	that there hasn't been agreement. In light of
10:12:40	12	that perhaps it would be necessary to do just
10:12:43	13	stand down the motion to another date to give,
10:12:46	14	in fairness, Ms. Pelletier time to the review
10:12:54	15	it. And we would, as suggested, provide a
10:12:58	16	marked copy to draw attention to any changes.
10:13:02	17	THE COURT: Okay. So you would
10:13:03	18	provide an annotated, or underlined, or marked,
10:13:05	19	or black-lined copy?
10:13:07	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honour.
10:13:09	21	THE COURT: That would pinpoint every
10:13:10	22	change?
10:13:11	23	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour.
10:13:14	24	THE COURT: And when could you do
10:13:15	25	that? Presumably it would not take long because

		Powe 2574
10:13:17	1	Page 2571 a computer can produce that.
10:13:20	2	MR. BEGGS: Yes, that can be done
10:13:22	3	today's Friday so presumably it can be done by
10:13:26	4	Tuesday.
10:13:26	5	THE COURT: All right. Tuesday? Not
10:13:28	6	Monday?
10:13:34	7	MR. BEGGS: Well, it probably depends
10:13:34	8	on how early we get out of here today, but yes,
10:13:34	9	it could
10:13:34	10	THE COURT: The reason I'm asking
10:13:35	11	about it is, having read all of the materials,
10:13:37	12	and thank you all for preparing very
10:13:39	13	well-prepared material, leaving aside the
10:13:41	14	question which I will have to decide about
10:13:43	15	whether the old report was late and how that
10:13:45	16	fits, given that there's now a new report. The
10:13:50	17	test under the Rules that I'm obliged to provide
10:13:53	18	is as of today not as of October 2018, so I
10:13:58	19	don't see how I can decide the motion on
10:14:04	20	well, let me change that.
10:14:06	21	If I was to decide the motion based on
10:14:08	22	the old report it would seem to me a rather
10:14:11	23	academic exercise since if you read the first
10:14:14	24	six pages of your expert report he says clearly
10:14:16	25	that for some reasons, which are specified, it

makes sense to update his report.

10:14:22

10:14:31

10:14:33

10:14:35

10:14:38

10:14:39

10:14:42

10:14:45

10:14:47

10:14:50

10:14:53

10:14:57

10:15:00

10:15:04

10:15:06

10:15:10

10:15:15

10:15:18

10:15:22

10:15:24

10:15:29

10:15:33

10:15:34

10:15:37

10:15:42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, hearing that you did try to talk to each other, which is great, but you did not conclude, for perfectly good reasons, that you could go ahead today on the new report, which would have been fine with me. I'm really quite troubled at the utility of going ahead now.

Here's a question really for plaintiff's counsel not for you. Ms. Pelletier, if you were able to get the black-line say by Monday -- have a seat, Mr. Beggs -- we have time next Friday, you know, it's not a long delay.

We have a very -- we have a gap day on Friday, I've already put one short matter in there, but do you think that if you received a black-line on Monday, or even if possible this afternoon, but I wouldn't require that, that would give you adequate time to consider the revised report, which is the report that Canada is seeking leave for ultimately? Would you think that would be enough time, counsel?

MS. PELLETIER: I imagine that would be, Your Honour. I guess my practical question is, you've read our materials, they're talking about the 2018 report. Would you like the

parties to file revised materials that are

10:15:49

2 specific to the 2019 report? I mean, I imagine

10:15:53

3 you would.

10:15:53

10:15:55

10:15:58

10:16:01

10:16:04

10:16:05

10:16:07

10:16:10

10:16:14

10:16:16

10:16:20

10:16:24

10:16:27

10:16:31

10:16:36

10:16:37

10:16:39

10:16:40

10:16:43

10:16:47

10:16:50

10:16:52

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, let me put it another way, in any motion like this both sides always need to focus on the entire chronology, and that chronology inevitably includes that report.

So, even though it would not be the report that today Canada is seeking leave for it's still a relevant part of that story. And so I would regard everything I've received as relevant, but I would certainly allow either or both of you to file any supplementary material that you felt necessary to adequately brief the significance, if any, of the revisions to the report made recently. So I have no problem with that.

You know, you can send in -- I wouldn't redo anything, I think that's unnecessary, but you can send in, you know, supplementary, short supplementary material, or long supplementary material, it doesn't really matter, but something that deals with whatever you discover beyond that introductory section of

	Page 2574
1	this gentleman's updated report. That's fine.
2	MS. PELLETIER: That works for me,
3	Your Honour.
4	THE COURT: And, Mr. Beggs, I take it
5	that would be satisfactory from your standpoint?
6	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour, I don't
7	believe we'll need any supplementary materials.
8	THE COURT: Well, you know, never say
9	never.
10	Okay. I think the only practical
11	thing to do in these circumstances is to adjourn
12	this motion to next Friday, and I would ask that
13	if either or both of you do wish to file any
14	supplementary material that you get it over
15	to I can't remember what we're doing on
16	Thursday at the moment.
17	MR. BEGGS: I think it was part of
18	Lenore Keeshig's evidence.
19	THE COURT: Yes, and we don't know how
20	long that will go, or whether we'll have time.
21	I guess in the circumstances what I
22	would ask is that if we're sitting on Thursday
23	through the day that you bring it to court so I
24	can have it by midday. If we get done
25	Ms. Keeshig early then I would ask for it to be
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

	r	VOL 20 DAT 20 011 0011y 12, 2013
10:18:09	1	sent over to my office in the morning of the
10:18:11	2	Thursday so I would have time to review it. All
10:18:14	3	right?
10:18:16	4	So here's the hard question for you,
10:18:18	5	Mr. Beggs, when is your witness coming to be
10:18:21	6	voir dired?
10:18:23	7	MR. BEGGS: Well, he is here right now
10:18:25	8	if we can proceed today with that, in any event
10:18:27	9	so he doesn't
10:18:29	10	THE COURT: Is he ready to go? Is
10:18:30	11	that him in the back?
10:18:31	12	MR. BEGGS: That is him, yes.
10:18:33	13	MR. VON GERNET: Yes, Your Honour.
10:18:34	14	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
10:18:37	15	MR. VON GERNET: Good morning.
10:18:38	16	THE COURT: So is there any difficulty
10:18:40	17	with continuing with our other agenda item from
10:18:45	18	today?
10:18:45	19	MS. PELLETIER: No difficulty, just
10:18:47	20	one clarification question. Will you still have
10:18:50	21	before you the 2018 report to deal with was it
10:18:53	22	properly in reply to McCarthy, and/or is it late
10:18:56	23	question? Will we be dealing with two reports?
10:19:00	24	THE COURT: Let me put it this way,
10:19:02	25	given that Mr. Beggs has confirmed to me this
	ļ	

		VOL 20 DAT 20 011 July 12, 2019
10:19:05	1	Page 2576 morning that it is the 2019 report about which
10:19:08	2	he ultimately wishes to put in front of this
10:19:10	3	court as evidence, it's not surprising, given
10:19:14	4	the reasons for its preparation, then the 2018
10:19:19	5	report becomes part of the story, if you will,
10:19:22	6	and relevant, I assume, part of the factual
10:19:25	7	basis upon which this motion will proceed.
10:19:30	8	In other words, I would assume
10:19:31	9	Mr. Beggs may still stand up and say the 2018
10:19:35	10	report was on time and I should consider that as
10:19:38	11	part of the reason why leave should be granted.
10:19:42	12	MS. PELLETIER: Understood.
10:19:43	13	THE COURT: Is that correct,
10:19:43	14	Mr. Beggs?
10:19:44	15	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour.
10:19:45	16	THE COURT: Any other lose ends. I
10:19:48	17	see Ms. Guirguis standing up, she's the one who
10:19:52	18	would be dealing with the next subject matter.
10:19:54	19	What have you got to say, counsel.
10:19:56	20	MS. GUIRGUIS: Good morning, your
10:19:56	21	Honour. So the only issue in terms of
10:19:57	22	proceeding with the voir dire is that I was
10:20:00	23	going to refer to Dr. von Gernet's report, the
10:20:04	24	October 2018 report. I can, I suppose, refer to
10:20:07	25	the July 2019 but it provides it creates some

VOL 20 DAT 20 011 301y 12, 2019						
10:20:12	1	Page 2577 confusion in terms of proceedings.				
10:20:14	2	THE COURT: So you're saying that you				
10:20:16	3	were going to cross-examine him on the 2018				
10:20:18	4	report?				
10:20:21	5	MS. GUIRGUIS: Yes.				
10:20:21	6	THE COURT: Which you can still do.				
10:20:23	7	MS. GUIRGUIS: Which I can still do.				
10:20:24	8	THE COURT: So the question then				
10:20:25	9	becomes, counsel, do you wish in this voir dire				
10:20:29	10	to also use the 2019 report? I guess you				
10:20:36	11	probably don't know.				
10:20:37	12	MS. GUIRGUIS: I probably don't know.				
10:20:39	13	I think that the I think the parts that I'm				
10:20:45	14	going to refer to have not changed, except for				
10:20:56	15	the references and so on.				
10:20:57	16	THE COURT: Well, it's your call				
10:20:58	17	counsel. If your submission is that you would				
10:21:00	18	need time as well to confront the 2019 report in				
10:21:05	19	the context of the voir dire then so be it, but				
10:21:09	20	you're going to have to make that decision and				
10:21:11	21	tell me what you want. And then we can explore				
10:21:15	22	whether or not Dr. von Gernet is available next				
10:21:19	23	Friday. No, he's not.				
10:21:22	24	We don't need to do Dr. von Gernet,				
10:21:26	25	sorry about all of this. Not of your making or				

		VOL 20 DAT 20 0H 30H 12, 2019					
10:21:29	1	mine, I should say. It was a convenience that					
10:21:34	2	we were doing the two together not a necessity.					
10:21:38	3	So you, counsel, will have to tell me,					
10:21:44	4	if you wish more time to prepare for your					
10:21:46	5	cross-examination, having regard for the fact					
10:21:48	6	that it's the new report and not the old report					
10:21:50	7	that's put forward then just say so, but I'm not					
10:21:53	8	going to make that decision for you.					
10:21:56	9	MS. GUIRGUIS: Can you give me a					
10:21:57	10	moment, Your Honour, to consult?					
10:22:34	11	(Discussion amongst counsel.)					
10:22:34	12	Unfortunately, Your Honour I think					
10:22:35	13	that I will want to consult the 2019 report					
10:22:39	14	prior to proceeding.					
10:22:45	15	THE COURT: Mr. Beggs, are you taking					
10:22:46	16	any objection to that being deferred as well?					
10:22:49	17	MR. BEGGS: No, Your Honour. We'll					
10:22:52	18	look for the earliest available time for					
10:22:55	19	Dr. von Gernet.					
10:22:58	20	THE COURT: Well, I do think that					
10:23:00	21	fairness requires that the plaintiffs have time					
10:23:04	22	to review the report that's actually being the					
10:23:06	23	subject of the motion, and obviously the voir					
10:23:08	24	dire is on the report that would be tendered.					
10:23:11	25	So, I agree with the position of the					

plaintiffs that they need more time for both matters. And I think that we'll still be able to do the motion on -- next Friday, which will significantly advance the entire project, because then plaintiff's counsel will know what they're dealing with.

So that is a partial solution and Mr. Beggs will be alive to the need to fit in the voir dire at some point. So I'm sorry about all that because I think we were going to get lots done today and it's now going to be deferred, but next Friday was available so that is helpful.

Doctor, very nice that you came today, thank you. Sorry that we're not able to proceed with your matter. Perhaps if -- I don't know if one of Canada's counsel wants to step out and, while we talk about other matters, if they want to explain all of this to their expert that's fine, it's up to you.

So that's fine. And now I'm just wanting, since we're all here, to raise the question of going over our plan for next week. Which of you is calling the expert who's coming on Monday, whose name temporarily escapes me.

10:23:17

10:23:20

10:23:23

10:23:26

10:23:28

10:23:33

1

2.

3

4

5

6

	r	VOL 20 DAT 20 011 July 12, 2019						
10:24:43	1	Page 2580 MR. TOWNSHEND: It is not an expert,						
10:24:45	2	Your Honour, it's Paul Jones who's a lay						
10:24:48	3	witness.						
10:24:48	4	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Townshend,						
10:24:48	5	let me go through my schedule. There is an						
10:24:51	6	expert coming next week, is there not?						
10:25:00	7	MR. TOWNSHEND: No, Your Honour.						
10:25:00	8	THE COURT: No, there's not. So we						
10:25:01	9	have three fact witnesses next week.						
10:25:04	10	MR. TOWNSHEND: That's correct.						
10:25:06	11	THE COURT: And then Professor						
10:25:06	12	Brownlie the following week.						
10:25:14	13	When is the U.S. law expert coming.						
10:25:18	14	MR. TOWNSHEND: He is coming in						
10:25:19	15	September, I think at the very end of September,						
10:25:21	16	if I recall correctly.						
10:25:23	17	THE COURT: I'm not seeing him						
10:25:23	18	actually on the schedule.						
10:25:23	19	MR. TOWNSHEND: The 1st of October.						
10:25:42	20	THE COURT: Oh, is it Greene?						
10:25:42	21	MR. TOWNSHEND: Yes. We canvassed the						
10:25:43	22	idea of trying to call him earlier to fill in						
10:25:46	23	the time but he's not available.						
10:25:55	24	THE COURT: Because all of the other						
10:25:56	25	witnesses are long witnesses.						

VOL 20 DAT 20 011 0019 12, 2010							
10:25:59	1	Page 2581 MR. TOWNSHEND: Yes.					
10:26:00	2	THE COURT: I'm just thinking about					
10:26:01	3	next week and if there's anything else, but I					
10:26:03	4	guess if we're going to do this motion on the					
10:26:04	5	Friday that partly fills the schedule for next					
10:26:18	6	week.					
10:26:19	7	Is there anything else we can do since					
10:26:19	8	we got together this morning?					
10:26:23	9	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour, I can					
10:26:23	10	advise I had indicated a rough order of					
10:26:25	11	witnesses. I can advise that we know our first					
10:26:27	12	witness now would be Professor Beaulieu.					
10:26:34	13	THE COURT: Is he from Quebec?					
10:26:36	14	MR. BEGGS: Yes, he is, and he's also					
10:26:37	15	a witness that had health concerns.					
10:26:39	16	THE COURT: Yes.					
10:26:40	17	MR. BEGGS: So we'll be starting with					
10:26:42	18	him now that we've been able to discuss the					
10:26:46	19	matter with him we'll start with him. He is a					
10:26:50	20	lengthy witness though. And I'm not sure if it					
10:26:56	21	needs to be resolved today but we might be					
10:26:59	22	asking for four days a week for him to due to					
10:27:03	23	his weakened health.					
10:27:07	24	THE COURT: As I recall this gentleman					
10:27:09	25	it wasn't so much that you wanted to call him					

		Page 2582
10:27:12	1	sooner is that you thought you might have to
10:27:13	2	call him later.
10:27:15	3	MR. BEGGS: We did originally believe
10:27:16	4	that, but now we've learned that it works out
10:27:19	5	better for his arrangements to proceed before
10:27:23	6	Christmas.
10:27:25	7	THE COURT: Well, that should be no
10:27:27	8	problem. Let me put it this way, sir, I said to
10:27:33	9	counsel a few weeks ago, primarily you, that it
10:27:37	10	was time for defendant's counsel to start
10:27:41	11	confronting their time estimates.
10:27:44	12	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour.
10:27:45	13	THE COURT: Which had not been
10:27:46	14	addressed in any fashion and looked like they
10:27:49	15	needed to same focused attention that
10:27:51	16	plaintiff's counsel were giving to their time
10:27:53	17	estimates.
10:27:55	18	So what I'd like to see, I'm going to
10:27:57	19	say by next Friday, is an updated schedule
10:28:04	20	through to the end of the year, including the
10:28:07	21	witnesses, having already discussed between you
10:28:09	22	the revised time estimates.
10:28:12	23	Now, the difficulty obviously if
10:28:17	24	this gentleman needs accommodation for health
10:28:20	25	reasons that's fine. All right? That doesn't

VOL 20 DAT 20 011 July 12, 2019						
1	Page 2583 mean we would sit four days a week necessarily,					
2	but it would mean we would have him four days a					
3	week.					
4	I am concerned about the number of					
5	down days we're having. Now, that can be					
6	partially addressed at least by you all having a					
7	discussion about your upcoming time estimates,					
8	taking a hard look at them. If we can make some					
9	progress on that then it will be an easier					
10	situation when we get to the need to have breaks					
11	later in the year.					
12	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour. It's					
13	possible to make use of that extra day of that					
14	fifth day in the week.					
15	THE COURT: Because he's a very long					
16	witness. I'm looking at the I'm hoping that					
17	this estimate will not be, after careful					
18	attention, the same, for the same reasons as					
19	I've been urging plaintiff's counsel to be more					
20	efficient in their examinations in-chief given					
21	that the reports are going in.					
22	MR. BEGGS: Yes, Your Honour, all of					
23	the estimates for the examinations in-chief will					
24	be reduced.					
25	THE COURT: All right. So why don't					
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24					

	ı	Para 0504				
10:29:25	1	Page 2584 we do it this way, you all have your				
10:29:28	2	discussions, and thank you in advance because I				
10:29:30	3	know they're always productive, about the				
10:29:33	4	estimates.				
10:29:35	5	And then you, sir, will have to do				
10:29:38	6	some work on the layout.				
10:29:43	7	And before I know that Professor				
10:29:45	8	Brownlie is going to be more than a week so the				
10:29:48	9	following week is going to be full, so it would				
10:29:50	10	be convenient if maybe next Thursday or Friday				
10:29:53	11	if we have a gap we could use that to have a				
10:29:55	12	case conference over the schedule. That means				
10:29:57	13	you all have to get on it, but unfortunately or				
10:30:00	14	fortunately, depending on how you look at it,				
10:30:02	15	you will have all day today to make some head				
10:30:05	16	way. All right?				
10:30:06	17	Is there anything else that anyone				
10:30:08	18	wishes to raise? Let me just look at my list.				
10:30:12	19	I always have something.				
10:30:16	20	THE COURT: Let me ask about the				
10:30:18	21	status of the sealing motion.				
10:30:24	22	MR. McCULLOCH: Your Honour, I'm in				
10:30:25	23	the process of drawing up the affidavit so I				
10:30:28	24	expect that the materials will be filed by the				
10:30:30	25	predicted date in August.				

	!	Page 2585						
10:30:32	1	THE COURT: Can you remind me when						
10:30:34	2	that was, sir?						
10:30:35	3	MR. McCULLOCH: The 15th.						
10:30:36	4	THE COURT: The 15th of August?						
10:30:39	5	MR. McCULLOCH: Yes.						
10:30:47	6	THE COURT: Now, on the thank you,						
10:30:48	7	counsel, for conferring, I think this is						
10:30:51	8	Mr. Brookwell's world. And coming up with what						
10:30:54	9	I was shown this morning by Mr. Crossman was a						
10:30:57	10	very helpful solution to the marking up of the						
10:31:02	11	exhibits.						
10:31:04	12	So Mr. Crossman showed me on a video						
10:31:09	13	link, whatever you call that, computer link,						
10:31:12	14	what it would look like. It's not presently						
10:31:15	15	part of our system but I thought it was fine so						
10:31:18	16	I think he is now going to implement that.						
10:31:22	17	MR. BROOKWELL: Thank you, Your						
10:31:23	18	Honour.						
10:31:23	19	THE COURT: And he says counsel has						
10:31:24	20	worked out the logistics.						
10:31:27	21	MR. BROOKWELL: Yes, he sent us an						
10:31:29	22	email this morning and we all confirmed that						
10:31:30	23	we're content with him making the changes, and						
10:31:34	24	he expects they will be up and running early						
10:31:37	25	next week.						

THE COURT: Yes.

10:31:38

10:31:47

10:31:47

10:31:48

10:31:50

10:31:53

10:31:56

10:31:57

10:32:01

10:32:04

10:32:07

10:32:11

10:32:18

10:32:21

10:32:23

10:32:25

10:32:28

10:32:31

10:32:33

10:32:35

10:32:37

10:32:40

10:32:43

10:32:46

10:32:48

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PELLETIER: I did have one thing, Your Honour. And, I'm sorry, there is one thing, Your Honour, so I did read as you had directed the sort of beginning section of the 2009 report and had began to sort of skim through the rest.

One of the concerns that I anticipate that the plaintiffs will have is in the introduction section Dr. von Gernet says that he is not -- this new report is not responsive to the McCarthy 2019 report. He makes a point of saying that, and there are several instances where he does respond to the report and challenges some of her evidence. So that to me -- I imagine what the plaintiffs might want to do with that is suggest that there are certain portions that are outside of his expertise that those portions should be struck.

When would you like us to raise those issues? Because I appreciate we have a Motion that is supposed to be about prejudice and 53.08, or lateness, and then we have a voir dire that is limited to bias. I'm just trying to figure out if that is the result of our review,

Page 2587 10:32:51 when would you like us to deal with those kinds 1 2 of issues? 10:32:53 Well, I think what you're 10:32:54 3 THE COURT: 10:32:56 4 talking about is the scope of this gentleman's 10:32:59 5 expertise. So we've already had one expert 10:33:03 witness who was a qualified expert in certain 6 areas but there was an additional area that 10:33:07 7 10:33:09 there was a dispute that she had the requisite 8 9 10:33:11 expertise so she was qualified in some but not 10:33:15 10 Ordinarily that would get raised at all areas. 11 the time of the tender. 10:33:18 But if that does reveal itself as a 10:33:19 12 result of your review, I think it would be more 10:33:22 13 10:33:25 14 efficient to combine that with the voir dire 10:33:27 15 that's already going to be taking place. 10:33:30 16 MS. PELLETIER: Thank you. 10:33:31 17 THE COURT: So you should notify 18 Canada that in addition to the already planned 10:33:32 10:33:37 19 challenge that there would be a challenge on 10:33:39 20 scope, and that way the ruling on the voir dire 10:33:43 would cover off all matters preceding the exam 21 22 in-chief. All right. 10:33:46 10:33:49 23 Thank you. MS. PELLETIER: 10:33:50 24 THE COURT: Anything else? No? 10:33:55 25 MS. PELLETIER: Oh. One other thing,

Page 2588 10:33:56 1 Your Honour. We seem to not be in agreement, 10:34:01 2. Canada and the plaintiffs, on whether or not 10:34:03 Dr. von Gernet would still need to be qualified 10:34:06 4 in the event the longer report is not admitted 10:34:09 5 and he is confined to his short report. 10:34:13 plaintiffs view that given that there is no 6 10:34:15 opinion evidence, it is not an expert report, 7 10:34:17 that there would be no need for a qualification. 8 10:34:20 9 And I can ask Mr. Beggs -- he can 10:34:23 10 speak for himself -- but Canada does not take 10:34:25 11 that position, I understand, and intends to try and qualify Dr. von Gernet the same, whether 10:34:27 12 10:34:31 13 it's shorter report or longer report. 14 would you like to deal with that issue? 10:34:34 10:34:37 15 THE COURT: Again, that would probably 10:34:38 be addressed at the voir dire stage. 16 10:34:41 17 MS. PELLETIER: Thank you. 10:34:41 The important thing is to 18 THE COURT: 10:34:42 19 make sure that Canada knows all of the bases 10:34:46 20 upon which you would object to him being 10:34:49 qualified as an expert witness and that they all 21 10:34:52 22 be done at the same time. So that's an 23 efficiency that comes out of all of this, right? 10:34:54 10:34:57 24 One more chance. No? Okav. Well, 10:35:00 25 I'm not thrilled about this day being not used

	Dec. 0500
10:35:04	Page 2589 but in the circumstances I think that's what's
10:35:05	going to happen.
3	Whereupon the proceedings were
4	adjourned at 10:35 a.m.
Ţ	
6	
-	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 2590 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, HELEN MARTINEAU, CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify; 4 That the foregoing proceedings were 5 6 taken before me at the time and place therein 7 set forth at which time the witness was put 8 under oath by me; 9 That the testimony of the witness and 10 all objections made at the time of the 11 examination were recorded stenographically by me 12 and were thereafter transcribed; 13 That the foregoing is a true and 14 accurate transcript of my shorthand notes so 15 taken. Dated this 21st day of July 2019. 16 17 18 19 PER: HELEN MARTINEAU 20 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 21 2.2 23 24 25

1	accommodati on 2582:24	amended 2566:18,23	2572:11 2574:4, 6,17 2575:5,7,	canvassed 2580:21
<b>10:02</b> 2563:1	addition	and/or 2575:22	12,25 2576:9, 14,15 2578:15,	<b>careful</b> 2583:17
<b>10:35</b> 2589:4	2587:18 additional	annotated 2570:18	17 2579:8 2581:9,14,17	case 2563:6
<b>15th</b> 2585:3,4	2587:7	anticipate	2582:3,12 2583:12,22	2564:8,10 2584:12
<b>1st</b> 2580:19	address 2568:3	2586:8 <b>area</b> 2587:7	2588:9	challenge
2	addressed	areas 2587:7,	begin 2563:4 beginning	2587:19 challenges
<b>2009</b> 2586:6	2582:14 2583:6 2588:16	argument	2565:12 2586:5	2586:15
<b>2018</b> 2564:24,	adequate	2566:3	bias 2586:24 black-line	<b>chance</b> 2565:21 2567:2,
25 2565:24 2566:16	2572:18 adequately	arrangement s 2582:5	2567:13 2572:10,16	12 2569:4 2588:24
2567:23 2568:22 2569:16	2573:15 adjourn	<b>assume</b> 2576:6,8	black-lined 2570:19	<b>change</b> 2570:22 2571:20
2571:18 2572:25 2575:21 2576:4,	2574:11 adjourned 2589:4	attention 2570:16 2582:15	<b>book</b> 2563:7,14 2564:9	changed 2567:10
9,24 2577:3 <b>2019</b> 2566:10,	admitted	2583:18 August	<b>breaks</b> 2583:10	2568:18 2577:14
19 2567:2 2568:2 2573:2	2588:4 advance	2584:25 2585:4	bring 2574:23 BROOKWEL	Christmas 2582:6
2576:1,25 2577:10,18 2578:13	2579:4 2584:2 advise	2563:7,14 2564:9	<b>L</b> 2585:17,21	chronology 2573:6,7
2586:12	2581:10,11		Brookwell's 2585:8	circumstance
3	affidavit 2584:23	B	<b>Brownlie</b> 2580:12 2584:8	<b>S</b> 2574:11,21 2589:1
<b>300</b> 2567:10	afraid 2566:25	<b>back</b> 2569:16 2575:11		clarification 2575:20
5	2572:17 <b>agenda</b>	<b>based</b> 2568:16 2569:7 2570:6	<b>call</b> 2577:16	closer 2568:1
<b>53.08</b> 2586:23	2575:17	2571:21 <b>bases</b> 2588:19	2580:22 2581:25 2582:2	2587:14
53.08(1)	agree 2578:25 agreed 2570:6,	<b>basis</b> 2576:7	2585:13	commencing 2563:1
2564:17	7	Beaulieu 2581:12	calling 2579:24	<b>commit</b> 2567:1
A	<b>agreement</b> 2570:11 2588:1	began 2586:6	<b>Canada</b> 2566:15,17	compendium
<b>a.m.</b> 2563:1 2589:4	<b>agrees</b> 2566:15	<b>Beggs</b> 2563:2, 3,11,16	2572:19 2573:10	2564:8  completely
academic 2567:21	<b>ahead</b> 2564:14 2569:15 2570:1	2564:14,15 2565:20 2566:14 2567:4,	2587:18 2588:2, 10,19	2567:7 comprehensi
2571:23	2572:5,7 alive 2579:8	12 2568:7,8 2570:3,9,23 2571:2,7	<b>Canada's</b> 2566:4 2579:17	ve 2567:17 computer 2564:6 2571:1

102 20 2711 20 011	ca.y :=, =c.c			
2585:13	<b>copy</b> 2564:22	cross-	dire 2563:13	<b>easier</b> 2583:9
concern	2570:16,19	examine	2564:3 2576:22	efficiency
	correct	2577:3	2577:9,19	2588:23
2568:8			2578:24 2579:9	2000.23
concerned	2563:15,16	Crossman	2586:23	efficient
2569:5 2583:4	2570:10	2585:9,12	2587:14,20	2583:20
	2576:13		2588:16	2587:14
concerns	2580:10	D		
2581:15 2586:8	correctly		directed	email 2585:22
conclude	2568:21		2586:5	<b>end</b> 2580:15
2572:4	2580:16	<b>date</b> 2570:13	directly	2582:20
		2584:25	2568:13	
conference	counsel	day 0570.40		<b>ends</b> 2576:16
2584:12	2565:14 2568:9	<b>day</b> 2572:13 2574:23	<b>dired</b> 2575:6	<b>enter</b> 2566:16
conferring	2570:8 2572:9,		discover	<b>CITTCI</b> 2300.10
2585:7	21 2576:19	2583:13,14	2573:25	<b>entire</b> 2573:6
2000.7	2577:9,17	2584:15		2579:4
confined	2578:3,11	2588:25	discuss	
2588:5	2579:5,17	days 2581:22	2581:18	escapes
oonfirm	2582:9,10,16	2583:1,2,5	discussed	2579:25
confirm	2583:19 2585:7,			estimate
2563:5	19	deal 2565:8	2565:15	2583:17
confirmed	COURT 0560.0.4	2566:23 2567:5	2568:12	
2575:25	court 2563:2,4,	2575:21 2587:1	2582:21	estimates
2585:22	13,18,21,23 2564:1,4,13	2588:14	discussion	2582:11,17,22
		dealing	2564:25	2583:7,23
confront	2565:2,6	2575:23	2565:13	2584:4
2577:18	2566:20 2567:3, 16 2568:6	2576:18 2579:6	2578:11 2583:7	event 2566:24
confronting	2569:20 2570:4,		discussions	2567:23 2575:8
2582:11	17,21,24	<b>deals</b> 2573:24	discussions	2588:4
	2571:5,10	decide	2584:2	
confusion	2573:4 2574:4,	2571:14,19,21	dispute	everyone's
2577:1	8,19,23		2587:8	2565:3
consent	2575:10,14,16,	decision	Doctor	evidence
2566:25	24 2576:3,13,16	2577:20 2578:8		2569:1,17
	2577:2,6,8,16	defendant's	2579:14	2574:18 2576:3
considered	2578:15,20	2582:10	<b>draw</b> 2570:16	2586:15 2588:7
2569:16,17	2580:4,8,11,17,	.1.6	drawing	avam 0507.04
consult	20,24 2581:2,	deferred		<b>exam</b> 2587:21
2578:10,13	13,16,24	2578:16	2584:23	examinations
	2582:7,13	2579:12	<b>due</b> 2581:22	2583:20,23
content	2583:15,25	delay 2572:12		ovemining
2585:23	2584:20 2585:1,		E	examining
context	4,6,19 2586:1	depending	<b>_</b>	2568:7
2577:19	2587:3,17,24	2584:14		excuse
continue	2588:15,18	depends	<b>e-mail</b> 2564:10	2568:24
continue		2571:7	2565:21	exercise
2570:5	<b>cover</b> 2587:21	dotoil ocozio	earlier 2564:22	
continuing	creates	detail 2567:2	2580:22	2571:23
2575:17	2576:25	determine		exhibit
convenience	orocc	2566:8	earliest	2569:19
2578:1	cross-	difficulty	2578:18	exhibits
	examination	2575:16,19	early 2571:8	2585:11
convenient	2578:5	25/5.16,19	2574:25	
2584:10		2002.20	2585:24	expect
				2567:11

		1	1	
2584:24	fine 2567:18	2570:13	2578:10,12,17	issues 2569:9
expects	2572:6 2574:1	2572:18 2578:9	2580:2,7 2581:9	2586:21 2587:2
2585:24	2579:20,21	<b>giving</b> 2582:16	2582:12	item 2575:17
	2582:25		2583:12,22	
<b>expert</b> 2563:8	2585:15	good 2572:4	2584:22	
2568:21	fit 2579:8	2575:14,15	2585:18 2586:3,	J
2571:24	<b>fits</b> 2571:16	2576:20	4 2588:1	
2579:19,24 2580:1,6,13	25/1.16	granted	hope 2566:22	Jones 2580:2
2587:5,6	focus 2573:6	2566:17	hoping	July 2576:25
2588:7,21	focused	2576:11	2583:16	July 2010.20
· ·	2582:15	great 2572:3	2000.10	
expertise				K
2586:19 2587:5,	fortunately	Greene	I	
9	2584:14	2580:20		Keeshig
explain	forward	guess 2572:23	idea 2580:22	2574:25
2579:19	2565:23	2574:21	imagine	Keeshig's
explore	2566:14 2578:7	2577:10 2581:4	2566:21	2574:18
2577:21	Friday 2571:3	Guirguis	2567:22 2568:4	
	2572:12,14	2563:21 2564:2	2572:22 2573:2	kinds 2587:1
<b>extra</b> 2583:13	2572.12,14	2576:17,20	2586:16	knew 2564:4
	2577:23 2579:3,	2577:5,7,12		2001.1
F	12 2581:5	2578:9	implement	
	2582:19		2585:16	L
foot orzo r	2584:10		important	
fact 2578:5		Н	2588:18	larger 2564:18
2580:9	friend 2564:20		in-chief	late 2566:5
factual 2576:6	front 2576:2	happen	2583:20,23	2569:10,12,13
<b>factum</b> 2563:7	full 2564:18	2589:2	2587:22	2571:15
2564:9	2584:9	hard 2575:4		2575:22
	2304.9	2583:8	includes	
fairness			2573:7	lateness
2570:14	G	<b>head</b> 2584:15	including	2586:23
2578:21		health	2582:20	<b>law</b> 2580:13
familiarize	<b>gap</b> 2572:13	2581:15,23	inofficient	lov organa
2567:16	2584:11	2582:24	inefficient	lay 2580:2
fashion	gentleman	hearing	2566:21	layout 2584:6
2582:14	gentleman 2581:24	2572:2	inevitably	learned
2002.14	2582:24	25/2.2	2573:7	2582:4
feel 2565:4		helpful	instances	
felt 2573:15	gentleman's	2569:22	2586:13	<b>leave</b> 2564:17
	2568:16 2574:1	2579:13		2565:6,18
<b>figure</b> 2586:25	2587:4	2585:10	intend 2569:24	2566:16,18,23
file 2566:18,23	Gernet	hindsight	intends	2572:20
2573:1,14	2564:19	2569:16	2588:11	2573:10
2574:13	2575:13,15	Honour		2576:11
	2577:22,24	Honour	introduction	leaving
<b>filed</b> 2567:25	2578:19	2563:3,12,17,20	2586:10	2571:13
2568:5 2584:24	2586:10 2588:3,	2564:12,15 2565:1,20	introductory	lengthy
filing 2564:18	12	2566:6 2567:23	2573:25	2581:20
fill 2580:22	Gernet's	2570:3,9,20,23	issue 2567:21,	
	2576:23	2572:23 2574:3,	24 2568:2	Lenore
fills 2581:5		6 2575:13	2569:8 2576:21	2574:18
	<b>give</b> 2565:6	2576:15,21	2588:14	
	•	•	•	•

letter 2565:10,	materials	2586:21	originally	2582:16
11	2566:2 2571:11 2572:24 2573:1	motions	2582:3	2583:19
light 2570:10, 11	2574:7 2584:24	2566:20	Р	<b>plaintiffs</b> 2563:19 2568:2
limited	<b>matter</b> 2572:14	N		2569:3 2578:21
2586:24	2573:24		pages 2567:10	2579:1 2586:9,
	2576:18	nooccarily	2571:24	16 2588:2,6
link 2585:13	2579:16 2581:19	necessarily 2583:1	<b>part</b> 2568:19	<b>plan</b> 2579:23
list 2584:18			2573:11	planned
logistics	matters	necessity	2574:17 2576:5,	2587:18
2585:20	2579:2,18	2578:2	6,11 2585:15	
	2587:21	needed	partial 2579:7	point 2565:9
long 2567:11 2570:25	Mccarthy	2582:15	-	2579:9 2586:12
2570.25 2572:12	2566:5,9	nice 2579:14	partially	pointed
2573:23	2568:23,24		2583:6	2564:20
2574:20	2569:19	<b>noted</b> 2565:1	parties	portions
2580:25	2575:22	noticed	2569:11 2570:4,	2586:18,19
2583:15	2586:12	2565:11	6 2573:1	<b>poses</b> 2566:11
longer 2568:19	Mcculloch	<b>notify</b> 2587:17	<b>partly</b> 2581:5	_ ·
2588:4,13	2584:22 2585:3,			position
<u> </u>	5	number	parts 2568:3	2565:19
looked	means	2566:1 2583:4	2577:13	2578:25
2582:14	2584:12		<b>Paul</b> 2580:2	2588:11
lose 2576:16	midday	0	Pelletier	practical
lots 2579:11	2574:24		2563:20,22,24,	2566:11
2075.11		<b>object</b> 2588:20	25 2564:2,7,11	2572:23
	<b>mine</b> 2578:1	_	2565:20	2574:10
M	moment	objection 2578:16	2566:22	practically
	2574:16		2567:14,22	2566:3
<b>made</b> 2569:19	2578:10	obliged	2570:14 2572:9,	preceding
2573:17	Monday	2571:17	22 2574:2	2587:21
<b>make</b> 2577:20	2571:6 2572:11,	October	2575:19	
2578:8 2583:8,	16 2579:25	2565:24	2576:12 2586:2 2587:16,23,25	predicted 2584:25
13 2584:15	month 2569:20	2569:21	2588:17	
2588:19		2571:18		prejudice
<b>makes</b> 2572:1	morning	2576:24	Pelletier's	2586:22
2586:12	2563:2,3,25	2580:19	2565:11	preparation
making	2564:16 2565:16 2575:1,	<b>office</b> 2575:1	perfectly	2576:4
2565:17	14,15 2576:1,20	open 2567:13	2572:4	prepare
2577:25	2581:8 2585:9,	•	perspective	2578:4
2585:23	22	opening	2566:11	
marked	motion	2568:12	pertain	prepared
2570:16,18	2563:5,7,11,18	opinion	2564:25	2565:14 2566:2
	2564:16 2565:5,	2588:7		preparing
marking	17,24 2569:6,	opportunity	pinpoint	2571:12
2585:10	22,25 2570:13	2564:23	2570:21	presently
material	2571:19,21		<b>place</b> 2587:15	2585:14
2563:6 2571:13	2573:5 2574:12	<b>order</b> 2581:10	plaintiff's	procumo
2573:14,22,23	2576:7 2578:23	Ordinarily	2568:9 2570:8	<b>presume</b> 2567:13
		_		
2573:14,22,23	2579:3 2581:4	2587:10		2307.13
	2579:3 2581:4 2584:21	2587:10	2572:9 2579:5	2307.13

primarily 2582:9	Q	redo 2573:20	require 2572:17	schedule 2580:5,18
<b>prior</b> 2578:14	qualification	2583:24	requires 2578:21	2581:5 2582:19 2584:12
<b>problem</b> 2566:12 2573:17 2582:8	2588:8 qualified	refer 2576:23, 24 2577:14	requisite 2587:8	<b>scope</b> 2587:4, 20
proceed 2575:8 2576:7	2587:6,9 2588:3,21	references 2577:15	resolved 2581:21	<b>sealing</b> 2584:21
2579:15 2582:5	<b>qualify</b> 2588:12	regard 2573:12 2578:5	respond	<b>seat</b> 2568:6 2572:11
proceeding 2576:22 2578:14	<b>Quebec</b> 2581:13	relevant 2573:11,13 2576:6	2566:2 2586:14 response 2568:23	<b>section</b> 2568:12,15,20
proceedings 2577:1 2589:3	question 2571:14 2572:8,	reluctant 2567:19	responsive 2566:9 2586:11	2573:25 2586:5, 10
<b>process</b> 2584:23	23 2575:4,20,23 2577:8 2579:23	remains 2567:24	rest 2586:7	seek 2566:15, 16,18
<b>produce</b> 2571:1	R	remember 2574:15	result 2568:4 2586:25 2587:13	<b>seeking</b> 2564:17 2572:20
productive 2584:3	raise 2579:22 2584:18	remind 2585:1	reveal 2587:12	2573:10 <b>send</b> 2573:19,
Professor 2568:24	2586:20 raised 2587:10	<b>removed</b> 2568:18	review 2564:24 2567:2 2568:1,10	21 <b>sense</b> 2572:1
2569:19 2580:11 2581:12 2584:7	raising 2566:4	reply 2566:5 2575:22	2570:14 2575:2 2578:22	September 2580:15
progress 2583:9	read 2568:15, 20 2571:11,23 2572:24 2586:4	report 2563:8 2564:19,22,24, 25 2565:5,12,	2586:25 2587:13	<b>short</b> 2567:12 2572:14
<b>project</b> 2579:4	ready 2575:10	14,17,24 2566:4,8,10,16,	revised 2564:22 2572:19 2573:1	2573:22 2588:5 <b>shorter</b>
<b>properly</b> 2568:10 2575:22	reason 2571:10 2576:11	18,19,24,25 2567:2,9,23 2568:2,4,11,13,	2582:22	2588:13 <b>showed</b>
<b>propose</b> 2565:22	reasons 2565:13,25	14,16,21,22,23, 25 2569:7,10,	2573:16 rough 2581:10	2585:12 <b>shown</b> 2585:9
provide	2571:25 2572:4 2576:4 2582:25	13,18,23 2570:7 2571:15,16,22,	Rule 2564:17	<b>sides</b> 2563:6
2570:15,18 2571:17	2583:18 recall 2580:16	24 2572:1,5,19, 25 2573:2,8,10, 17 2574:1	<b>Rules</b> 2569:9, 14 2571:17	2573:5 significance
<b>provided</b> 2564:21	2581:24 received	2575:21 2576:1, 5,10,23,24	ruling 2567:20 2587:20	2569:2 2573:16 significant
2564:16	2563:5,9 2565:10 2572:15	2577:4,10,18 2578:6,13,22,24 2586:6,11,12,14	<b>running</b> 2585:24	2567:20 significantly 2579:4
<b>put</b> 2572:14 2573:4 2575:24 2576:2 2578:7	2573:12 recently	2588:4,5,7,13 reports	S	<b>sir</b> 2563:10
2582:8 <b>puzzled</b> 2570:1	2573:17 record 2563:7	2568:17 2569:7 2575:23 2583:21	satisfactory 2574:5	2575:14 2582:8 2584:5 2585:2 <b>sit</b> 2583:1
2070.1	2564:8			

sitting 2574:22 situation	suggested 2570:15	three-volume 2564:8	2565:15 2567:7 2569:8 2570:8	<b>wanting</b> 2579:22
2565:7 2567:6	supplementa	thrilled	2588:11	waste 2565:3
2569:20	<b>ry</b> 2564:10	2588:25	Understood	weakened
2583:10	2573:14,22,23 2574:7,14	Thursday	2576:12	2581:23
<b>skim</b> 2586:6	·	2574:16,22 2575:2 2584:10	unknown 2569:3	weeds 2566:7
<b>solution</b> 2579:7 2585:10	<b>suppose</b> 2576:24	time 2565:3	unnecessary	week 2564:22 2579:23 2580:6
<b>sooner</b> 2582:1	supposed 2586:22	2568:10 2569:12,24	2573:21 upcoming	9,12 2581:3,6, 22 2583:1,3,14
<b>sort</b> 2586:5,6	surprise	2570:14 2572:11,18,21	2583:7	2584:8,9
<b>speak</b> 2564:21 2588:10	2568:9,11,14	2574:20 2575:2	<b>update</b> 2572:1	2585:25
speaking	surprising 2576:3	2576:10 2577:18 2578:4,	updated	weeks 2582:9
2566:3	system	18,21 2579:1	2563:8 2565:14 2568:11,18	well-prepared
specific 2573:2	2585:15	2580:23 2582:10,11,16,	2574:1 2582:19	wishes
2573:2 <b>spent</b> 2569:11		22 2583:7 2587:11	<b>urging</b> 2583:19	2564:21 2576:2 2584:18
<b>stage</b> 2588:16		2588:22	<b>utility</b> 2572:7	witnesses
<b>stand</b> 2570:13	taking 2578:15	today 2567:5 2569:17 2571:8,		2580:9,25 2581:11
2576:9	2583:8 2587:15	18 2572:5	V	2582:21
standing 2576:17	<b>talk</b> 2572:2 2579:18	2573:10 2575:8, 18 2579:11,14	version	words 2576:8
standpoint	talking	2581:21	2567:25	work 2584:6
2574:5	2572:24 2587:4	2584:15	video 2585:12	worked
<b>start</b> 2581:19	temporarily 2579:25	today's 2571:3	view 2588:6	2585:20
2582:10	tender 2587:11	<b>Townshend</b> 2580:1,4,7,10,	<b>visual</b> 2567:9	<b>works</b> 2574:2 2582:4
<b>starting</b> 2564:6 2581:17	tendered	14,19,21 2581:1	voir 2563:13	world 2585:8
<b>starts</b> 2565:4	2578:24	<b>trial</b> 2568:19,25 2569:18,24	2564:3 2575:6 2576:22 2577:9,	wrote 2568:22
<b>status</b> 2584:21	terms 2576:21	troubled	19 2578:23 2579:9 2586:23	
<b>step</b> 2579:17	2577:1	2572:7	2587:14,20	Υ
<b>stop</b> 2565:18	<b>test</b> 2569:13,15 2571:17	Tuesday	2588:16	<b>year</b> 2569:21
<b>story</b> 2573:11	testified	2571:4,5	<b>von</b> 2564:19 2575:13,15	2582:20
2576:5	2568:25	turn 2567:20	2576:23	2583:11
<b>struck</b> 2586:19	thing 2569:5 2574:11 2586:2,	U	2577:22,24 2578:19	yesterday 2565:22
<b>subject</b> 2576:18	4 2587:25		2586:10 2588:3, 12	
2578:23	2588:18	<b>U.S.</b> 2580:13		
submission	things 2568:22	ultimately 2572:20 2576:2	w	
2577:17	thinking 2581:2	underlined		
suggest 2586:17	thought	2570:18	wanted 2581:25	
	2582:1 2585:15	understand	2001.20	