In the Matter Of: The Chippewas of Saugeen First Nation et al v.

Attorney General of Canada et al.

DAY 76 VOL 76 January 17, 2020



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	ONTARIO
2	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
3	BETWEEN:
4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11	THE CHIPPEWAS OF SAUGEEN FIRST NATION, and THE CHIPPEWAS OF NAWASH FIRST NATION Plaintiffs - and - THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO, THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF GREY, THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF BRUCE, THE CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF NORTHERN BRUCE PENINSULA, THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF SAUGEEN SHORES, and THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF GEORGIAN BLUFFS Defendants
12	Court File No. 03-CV-261134CM1
13	AND BETWEEN:
14 14 15 15 16	CHIPPEWAS OF NAWASH UNCEDED FIRST NATION and SAUGEEN FIRST NATION Plaintiffs - and - THE, ATTORNEY GENERAL, OF CANADA and HER MAJESTY
17 18	THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO Defendants
19	
20	
21 21 22 22 23 23 24	This is VOLUME 76 / DAY 76 of the trial proceedings in the above-noted matter, being held at the Superior Court of Justice, 330 University Avenue, Courtroom 5-1 Toronto, Ontario, on the 17th day of January 2020. B E F O R E: The Honourable Justice Wendy M. Matheson

	5711 10 102 10 011 011 011 011 011 011 011	Page 9723
1	APPEARANCES:	Fage 9723
2	Roger Townshend, Esq.,	for the Plaintiffs,
3	& Chris Evans, Esq.,	the Chippewas of
4	& Jaclyn McNamara, Esq.,	Nation, and the
5		Chippewas of Nawash
6		First Nation.
7		
8	Michael Beggs, Esq.,	for the Defendant,
9	& Michael McCulloch, Esq.,	Attorney General
10	& Barry Ennis, Esq.,	of Canada.
11	& Alexandra Colizza, Esq.	
12		
13	Peter Lemmond, Esq.,	for the Defendant,
14	& David Feliciant, Esq.,	Her Majesty the
15	& Richard Ogden, Esq.,	Queen in Right of
16	& Julia McRandall, Esq.,	Ontario.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	VOL 70 on Janua	.,,				Page 9724
1				I N	D E X	rage 9724
2						PAGE
3	Closing	of th	e case	by	Canada	9727
4	Opening	submi	ssions	by	Ontario	9732
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

	DAT 70 VOL 70 0H 30	andary 17, 2020	Page 9725
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	rage 9725
2	NO./	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	4506	Copy of the Boundary Waters	9727
4		Treaty of 1909; document number	
5		SC1299.	
6	4507	Copy of the Great Lakes Water	9728
7		Quality Agreement, 2012 version;	
8		document number SC1302.	
9	4508	Great Lakes Fisheries Commission,	9729
10		originally dated 1954, a schedule	
11		to the actual Great Lakes	
12		Fisheries Convention Act statute;	
13		document number SC1305.	
14	4509	Excerpt from the plaintiffs'	9730
15		answers to the written	
16		interrogatory questions of Canada	
17		for the Treaty action; document	
18		SC1614.	
19	4510	Excerpt of the plaintiffs'	9730
20		answers to interrogatory	
21		questions of Canada for the title	
22		action; document number SC1615.	
23			
24			
25			

	DAT 70 VOL 70 011 January 17, 2020	Page 9726
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	Fage 9720
2	NO./ DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	4511 Excerpt from the Ojibwe and	9731
4	English vocabulary written by	
5	M.B. Madwayosh, an interpreter	
6	for J. Craig, Esquire,	
7	Southhampton, December 29th,	
8	1859; document number SC1669.	
9	K-3 Chart entitled "Outline of	9733
10	Evidence of Ontario's Expert and	
11	Fact Witnesses".	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		DAT 70 VOL 70 011 January 17, 2020
	1	Upon commencing at 10:03 a.m.
	2	THE COURT: Morning, Mr. Beggs.
10:03:38	3	MR. BEGGS: Morning.
10:03:40	4	Thank you, Your Honour. As the court
10:03:43	5	knows Canada's last witness will be called in
10:03:47	6	February, Professor Bowman, and apart from that
10:03:53	7	there are only a few remaining evidentiary
10:03:59	8	exhibits for us to call.
10:04:02	9	First of all our
10:04:04	10	THE COURT: Just before you do that I
10:04:05	11	just want to put on the record two things; that
10:04:07	12	Professor Bowman is being called out of order
10:04:11	13	for health reasons and, secondly, that it's on
10:04:14	14	consent.
10:04:15	15	MR. BEGGS: Yes. Thank you, Your
10:04:16	16	Honour.
10:04:16	17	We have three international treaties
10:04:19	18	which are being admitted on consent. The first
10:04:22	19	is the Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909, it's
10:04:25	20	SC1299. And I'd like to I would ask that
10:04:37	21	this be made the next exhibit, Your Honour.
10:04:41	22	THE COURT: Mr. Registrar
10:04:42	23	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 4506.
10:04:44	24	EXHIBIT NO. 4506: Copy of the
10:04:47	25	Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909;

		DAT 10 VOL 10 011 January 11, 2020
10:04:47	1	Page 9728 document number SC1299.
10:04:51	2	MR. BEGGS: The second treaty is the
10:04:52	3	Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement, the version
10:04:56	4	we have is 2012, and it's SC1302.
10:05:02	5	THE COURT: Is that a treaty?
10:05:03	6	MR. BEGGS: It is an actual treaty
10:05:04	7	between Canada and the United States. I'd like
10:05:08	8	to ask this to be made an exhibit as well.
10:05:11	9	THE COURT: Mr. Registrar.
10:05:13	10	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 4507.
10:05:15	11	EXHIBIT NO. 4507: Copy of the Great
10:05:17	12	Lakes Water Quality Agreement, 2012
10:05:17	13	version; document number SC1302.
10:05:18	14	MR. BEGGS: And the last is the Great
10:05:19	15	Lakes Fisheries Commission, which is originally
10:05:23	16	dated 1954, it is this exhibit is actually a
10:05:27	17	schedule to the actual Great Lakes Fisheries
10:05:30	18	Convention Act statute, the Treaty begins on
10:05:35	19	page 3 of this document. It's the and as I
10:05:39	20	said the, Great Lakes Fisheries Convention Act,
10:05:42	21	it is SC1305. If this could be made an exhibit
10:05:48	22	as well?
10:05:50	23	THE COURT: Mr. Registrar.
10:05:51	24	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 4508.
10:05:53	25	EXHIBIT NO. 4508: Great Lakes

	DAT 70 VOL 70 011 January 17, 2020
10:05:53 1	Page 9729 Fisheries Commission, originally dated
10:05:53 2	1954, a schedule to the actual Great
10:05:53 3	Lakes Fisheries Convention Act
10:05:53 4	statute; document number SC1305.
10:05:54 5	MR. BEGGS: The next two items are
10:06:31 6	answers plaintiffs answers to written
10:06:34 7	interrogatories and rather, as has been
10:06:37 8	arranged, they won't be read in but instead will
10:06:40 9	be marked as exhibits.
10:06:42 10	Canada, when doing the
10:06:45 11	interrogatories, separated the Treaty in the
10:06:47 12	title action so there's two separate documents.
10:06:50 13	The first is SC1614.
10:07:01 14	THE COURT: Is this an excerpt from
10:07:02 15	the plaintiffs' answers?
10:07:04 16	MR. BEGGS: It is. It is an excerpt
10:07:05 17	from the plaintiffs' answers to the written
10:07:08 18	interrogatory questions of Canada for the Treaty
10:07:10 19	action.
10:07:21 20	And we'd like that to be an exhibit as
10:07:23 21	well, Your Honour.
10:07:24 22	THE COURT: Mr. Townshend, have you
10:07:26 23	had a chance to review that.
10:07:29 24	MR. TOWNSHEND: Yes.
10:07:30 25	THE COURT: And you're not objecting

		DAT 10 VOL 10 011 January 11, 2020
10:07:30	1	Page 9730 to it.
10:07:32	2	MR. TOWNSHEND: No.
10:07:33	3	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Registrar.
10:07:35	4	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 4509.
10:11:37	5	EXHIBIT NO. 4509: Excerpt from the
10:11:37	6	plaintiffs' answers to the written
10:11:37	7	interrogatory questions of Canada for
10:11:37	8	the Treaty action; document SC1614.
10:07:37	9	MR. BEGGS: And the next is SC1615
10:07:40	10	and, again, it's an excerpt of the plaintiffs'
10:07:43	11	answers to interrogatory questions of Canada for
10:07:45	12	the title action. We'd like this to be made the
10:07:49	13	next exhibit, please.
10:07:50	14	THE COURT: I take it there's no
10:07:52	15	objection to that either Mr. Townshend.
10:07:54	16	MR. TOWNSHEND: No objection.
10:07:56	17	THE COURT: All right.
10:07:57	18	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 4510.
10:08:03	19	EXHIBIT NO. 4510: Excerpt of the
10:08:05	20	plaintiffs' answers to interrogatory
10:08:05	21	questions of Canada for the title
10:08:05	22	action; document number SC1615.
10:08:06	23	MR. BEGGS: The last item is a
10:08:09	24	historical document, it's SC1669. And I believe
10:08:22	25	any difficulties have been addressed, but I'll

	1	Daga 0704
10:08:25	1	Page 9731 let me friend raise that if he wishes. The
10:08:28	2	document is an excerpt from the Ojibwe and
10:08:30	3	English vocabulary written by M.B. Madwayosh, an
10:08:35	4	interpreter for J. Craig, Esquire, Southhampton,
10:08:36	5	December 29th, 1859, and it was extracted from
10:08:43	6	the archival record held by Bruce County Museum
10:08:45	7	and Cultural Centre, and it's pages 1 to 27. I
10:08:50	8	would ask that this be made the last exhibit for
10:08:53	9	Canada.
10:08:54	10	THE COURT: Any objection.
10:08:55	11	MR. TOWNSHEND: No objection.
10:08:56	12	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
10:08:58	13	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 34511.
10:09:00	14	EXHIBIT NO. 4511: Excerpt from the
10:09:01	15	Ojibwe and English vocabulary written
10:09:01	16	by M.B. Madwayosh, an interpreter for
10:09:01	17	J. Craig, Esquire, Southhampton,
10:09:01	18	December 29th, 1859; document number
10:09:01	19	SC1669.
10:09:08	20	MR. BEGGS: Thank you, Your Honour.
10:09:09	21	THE COURT: Don't sit down until you
10:09:09	22	tell me whether you're closing your case, sir,
10:09:09	23	subject to the evidence of Professor Bowman.
10:09:09	24	MR. BEGGS: Yes, subject to the
10:09:09	25	evidence of Professor Bowman Canada is closing

		DAT 10 VOL 10 011 January 11, 2020
10:09:14	1	Page 9732 its case. Thank you.
10:09:16	2	THE COURT: Thank you.
10:09:17	3	And who is opening for Ontario?
10:09:29	4	Mr. Feliciant.
10:09:31	5	MR. FELICIANT: Good morning, Your
10:09:31	6	Honour, if we could start by bringing up
10:09:34	7	document SC1664?
10:09:48	8	Your Honour, what I'm bringing up now
10:09:49	9	is an outline of the evidence of Ontario's
10:09:54	10	expert witnesses. It's a helpful, I believe,
10:09:59	11	chart similar to charts provided by the
10:10:02	12	plaintiffs and Canada. And if we could perhaps
10:10:06	13	mark this as the next lettered exhibit as
10:10:09	14	forming part of our opening?
10:10:11	15	THE COURT: Yes. Just give me a
10:10:12	16	moment.
10:10:16	17	Yes, I recall a helpful summary, by
10:10:18	18	the plaintiffs at least. I believe Canada
10:10:24	19	provided one but it was their experts only.
10:10:27	20	Is this in the category of the
10:10:28	21	plaintiffs' summary Canada's summary.
10:10:32	22	MR. FELICIANT: This is in the line of
10:10:33	23	the plaintiffs' summary of expert and fact
10:10:36	24	witnesses.
10:10:38	25	THE COURT: Just give me a moment

Page 9733 10:10:40 here. 1 Mr. Registrar, this chart entitled 10:10:53 2. 10:10:57 3 "Outline of Evidence of Ontario's Expert and Fact Witnesses" will be the next lettered 10:11:00 4 10:11:03 5 exhibit. 10:11:04 Lettered Exhibit K-3. 6 THE REGISTRAR: EXHIBIT NO. K-3: 10:11:07 7 Chart entitled "Outline of Evidence of Ontario's 10:11:10 8 9 Expert and Fact Witnesses". 10:11:10 10:11:13 10 Your Honour, Ontario MR. FELICIANT: 11 is pleased to open its case in this matter. 10:11:13 don't propose to revisit the submissions made in 10:11:19 12 10:11:22 13 the initial opening statement but those have not 10:11:26 14 changed. Just as a brief reminder, it is 10:11:28 15 10:11:32 16 Ontario's position that in the Treaty action 10:11:35 17 there is no breach of fiduciary duty and no breach of the honour of the Crown. 18 10:11:37 19 And in the Aboriginal title action to 10:11:41 10:11:42 20 the lake beds of Lake Huron and Georgian Bay it 10:11:46 is our position it is not relief that's 21 22 cognizable in law in Canada; and if it is the 10:11:51 23 plaintiffs have not provided evidence sufficient 10:11:56 10:11:58 24 to make out exclusive use and occupation of the 10:12:02 25 area claimed.

10:12:04 Ontario will be calling, in its case, 1 10:12:08 2. eight witnesses comprised of three experts and 10:12:12 five lay witnesses. 10:12:15 4 The three experts that will speak to 10:12:18 5 these issues are Mr. Jean-Phillippe Chartrand, 10:12:26 Professor Donald Graves and Dr. Gwen Reimer. 6 10:12:36 7 Jean-Phillippe Chartrand is an anthropologist and an ethnohistorian. 10:12:39 8 10:12:42 9 prepared an expert report for this proceeding 10:12:42 10 titled "Historical Research on Provisions of 11 American Treaties, Including Surrenders of Lake 10:12:46 Beds in the Great Lakes". 10:12:48 12 10:12:51 13 His report and testimony address 10:12:53 14 provisions in five American treaties that the 10:12:58 15 plaintiffs assert are examples of surrenders of 10:13:01 16 lake beds in the Great Lakes, which contrast to 10:13:05 17 the terms of Treaty 72, which contain no such 18 surrender. 10:13:10 10:13:13 19 He will analyze these treaties in the 10:13:15 20 context of British and American relations both 10:13:17 with each other and with Indigenous peoples in 21 22 the Great Lakes region from the mid-18th to the 10:13:20 23 mid-19th century, including the different treaty 10:13:24 10:13:26 24 policies and protocols in Upper Canada and the 10:13:29 25 His evidence primarily pertains to the U.S.

10:13:33 title action. 1 Professor Donald Graves is a historian 10:13:35 2. 10:13:38 with a particular focus in military history. 10:13:44 4 has prepared an expert report titled "Comments 10:13:46 5 and Observations on the Expert Reports of 10:13:49 Professor Eric Hinderaker and Dr. Sidney Harring 6 10:13:53 and the Historical basis for the Plaintiffs' 7 Statement of Claim". 10:13:57 8 9 In his report and testimony he will 10:13:58 10:14:01 10 review the military history of the Great Lakes 10:14:04 11 area from 1756 to 1867. His evidence pertains to the title 10:14:08 12 10:14:10 13 action, namely the issue of exclusive use. 14 also pertains to the Treaty action and the issue 10:14:14 10:14:20 15 of whether the Crown breached any duties it may 10:14:23 have owed in relation to the protection of the 16 10:14:24 17 peninsula from encroachment. Finally for the expert witnesses, 10:14:27 18 10:14:28 19 Dr. Reimer is an anthropologist with expertise 10:14:32 20 in cultural anthropology and ethnohistory. 10:14:36 Dr. Reimer provided an expert report comprised 21 of four volumes. 10:14:40 22 23 The primary research question 10:14:41 10:14:43 24 addressed in the first volume was whether there 10:14:45 25 is sufficient evidence to determine if

		DAT 10 VOL 10 011 January 11, 2020
10:14:47	1	Page 9736 Aboriginal occupation of the Saugeen Peninsula
10:14:50	2	was continuous from the prehistoric to the
10:14:54	3	historic periods?
10:14:56	4	The second volume analyzed the use and
10:14:58	5	occupation of waters and lands in the lake claim
10:15:00	6	area.
10:15:02	7	The third volume focused on land
10:15:04	8	cession agreements between the SON and Crown
10:15:08	9	with specific reference to Treaty 45 1/2, Treaty
10:15:12	10	67 and Treaty 72.
10:15:15	11	Lastly, the fourth volume of
10:15:18	12	Dr. Reimer's report presented evidence regarding
10:15:21	13	the implementation of Treaty 72.
10:15:24	14	She will also be addressing the expert
10:15:27	15	evidence of Professor's Hinderaker, Brownlie,
10:15:30	16	Williamson, Harring and Driben.
10:15:35	17	Additionally Ontario will be calling
10:15:38	18	five fact witnesses. Ron Gould, Jennifer Keys,
10:15:46	19	Mark Mushett, Caroline O'Neil and Greg Sikma.
10:15:57	20	Starting with Mr. Gould, Ron Gould
10:16:00	21	work for the Ministry of Environment,
10:16:01	22	Conservation and Parks as a protected area
10:16:05	23	specialist. He will provide evidence focused on
10:16:08	24	the ministry's work along the shorelines and
10:16:11	25	with respect to the waters around the Provincial

Page 9737 10:16:13 parks that border on Lake Huron and Georgian 1 10:16:17 Bay. 10:16:18 He will discuss access and use by 10:16:20 4 members of the public of those areas and the 10:16:23 5 Ministry's efforts to preserve sensitive areas 10:16:28 of species at risk. 6 10:16:29 7 Jennifer Keys works for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry and 10:16:31 8 10:16:34 9 is a manager of the water resources section, 10:16:37 10 possibly now a director. I believe she's now a 11 director of the -- in that organization so she's 10:16:42 10:16:47 12 got a promotion. 10:16:48 13 She will speak to her ministry's role 10:16:51 14 in federal and binational collaboration in Great 10:16:54 15 Lakes management, and in respect of various agreements between the Province and the federal 10:16:57 16 10:16:59 17 government, the United States and individual 18 State governments. 10:17:01 This evidence will include material 10:17:03 19 10:17:05 20 related to the Ministry's obligation that flow from these agreements as well as the work being 10:17:09 21 22 10:17:13 carried on in respect of ecosystem management 23 10:17:15 and water management issues. 10:17:18 24 Mark Mushett is employed by the 10:17:21 25 Ministry of Natural Resource and he will speak

10:17:25 1 10:17:28 2. 10:17:34 10:17:38 4 10:17:42 5 10:17:45 6 10:17:47 7 10:17:49 8 9 10:17:53 10 10:17:57 11 10:18:04 10:18:07 12 10:18:10 13 10:18:12 14 10:18:14 15 10:18:16 16 10:18:19 17 10:18:22 18 10:18:26 19 10:18:30 20 10:18:31 21 22 10:18:35 10:18:36 23 10:18:39 24 10:18:44 25 Page 9738 to the commercial fishing agreement with SON and Upper Great Lakes fisheries' management.

Caroline O'Neil is a manager with the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks and, in a manner similar to Jennifer Keys, will speak to the various international, binational and other subnational agreements relating to the management of the Great Lakes as it applies to that particular ministry.

Finally, Greg Sikma is a cartographic expert with MNRF and he will speak to his role in making certain maps used in the cross-examination of Professor Brownlie, and also being addressed by Dr. Reimer.

Many lawyers and judges often talk about large trials and how much evidence and how many documents there are, but there's some conventional wisdom that says no matter how big the trial is it only comes down to a few key documents and facts; of course in a trial like this is on a larger scale but it, nevertheless, hold true.

So in this opening it is my intention to distill, from the quite voluminous that evidence you will hear, those core facts that

10:18:46	1	Page 9739 create the core narrative that, in Ontario's
10:18:50	2	view, should be driving the decision.
10:18:54	3	THE COURT: Just before you move on to
10:18:56	4	that, I don't have any notes suggesting there
10:18:58	5	will be any voir dires in relation to your three
10:19:01	6	experts. Is that still the case or is that
10:19:06	7	MR. FELICIANT: Yes. My understanding
10:19:07	8	from counsel is that there will be no objection
10:19:10	9	to our proposed tenders.
10:19:12	10	THE COURT: All right.
10:19:18	11	MR. FELICIANT: So I'll start with the
10:19:19	12	Aboriginal title to the lake beds claim. And
10:19:23	13	hopefully we can now distill some of the facts
10:19:25	14	that drive this core narrative.
10:19:30	15	Dr. Reimer will testify about the
10:19:32	16	prehistoric, protohistoric and historic
10:19:35	17	occupation of the Bruce Peninsula. We will hear
10:19:38	18	that the Ojibwe likely did not arrive on the
10:19:41	19	Bruce Peninsula until approximately the 1690s
10:19:45	20	after the Iroquois were driven out of southern
10:19:48	21	Ontario.
10:19:49	22	Dr. Reimer will also testify that in
10:19:52	23	the 1760s, British officials had little
10:19:55	24	information about Indigenous groups who lived
10:19:58	25	beyond the major forts.

10:20:00 By the 1820s there was some 1 10:20:03 2 awareness that villages were occupied by Ojibwe 10:20:06 at Saugeen and Nawash. Potawotami families 10:20:10 4 relocated to the Saugeen and Nawash communities 10:20:12 5 in the 1830s, having left the United States 10:20:15 for various reasons, including the American 6 removal policy and western expansion. 10:20:17 7 Dr. Reimer will also testify that 10:20:22 8 9 10:20:24 Ojibwe communities were politically autonomous 10:20:27 10 and responsible for making their own decisions 10:20:29 11 relating to the use of their territory and other 10:20:31 12 day-to-day matters. 10:20:33 13 Participation and alliances was 10:20:35 14 impermanent and depended upon whether 10:20:37 15 participation in a particular endeavour was 10:20:39 16 sufficiently important to their interest, in 10:20:42 17 this regard she agrees with Professor Driben. The location of the Ojibwe contact and 10:20:45 18 10:20:48 19 then, again, at the assertion of sovereignty is 10:20:51 20 important when analyzing the community's 10:20:54 historic use and occupation of Lake Huron and 21 22 George Bay, in addition to its ability to 10:20:56 23 control access points and waters. 10:20:58 10:21:03 24 Donald Graves will testify that by 10:21:06 25 1763, and into the early 19th century, British

10:21:10	1	Page 9741 naval power dominated the Lower and Upper Great
10:21:13	2	Lakes, except for short periods during the War
10:21:16	3	of 1812 during which British control was
10:21:19	4	contested by the United States.
10:21:22	5	More particularly, the British
10:21:23	6	controlled Lake Huron during the War of 1812,
10:21:28	7	except in 1814 when American warships dominated
10:21:32	8	Lake Huron until two American ships on Lake
10:21:34	9	Huron for captured by British boarding parties
10:21:37	10	in September 1814.
10:21:40	11	Indigenous attacks on British sailing
10:21:42	12	vessels in 1763 generally were unsuccessful and
10:21:46	13	costly to the attackers.
10:21:48	14	During the War of 1812 it was through
10:21:51	15	their alliance with the British that the
10:21:54	16	Indigenous Nations of Upper Great Lakes were
10:21:57	17	able to continue to utilize these waters for
10:22:00	18	their own purposes.
10:22:02	19	Consistent with other evidence we've
10:22:03	20	heard, Dr. Reimer will testify that the primary
10:22:07	21	use of Lake Huron and what made it truly
10:22:10	22	important to the Saugeen and Nawash communities
10:22:12	23	was its fisheries.
10:22:16	24	Mark Mushett will provide evidence of
10:22:19	25	the commercial fishing agreement with SON that

10:22:21 protects their right to commercially fish in 1 10:22:23 2. Lake Huron and Georgian Bay. 10:22:26 Jennifer Keys from MNRF, the Ministry 10:22:30 4 of Natural Resources and Forestry will testify 10:22:33 5 about the international, national, and 10:22:35 subnational agreements and collaboration in 6 10:22:38 relation to MNRF's role in management of the 7 10:22:42 Great Lakes that could be impacted by a finding 8 9 10:22:45 of Aboriginal title. Caroline O'Neil from MECP, Ministry of 10:22:47 10 10:22:49 11 the Environment, Conservation and Parks, will testify about the international, national and 10:22:53 12 10:22:54 13 subnational agreements and collaboration in 10:22:57 14 relation to MECP's role in the management of the 10:23:01 15 Great Lakes that could be impacted by a finding 10:23:03 of Aboriginal title. 16 10:23:06 17 Ron Gould from MECP will speak 10:23:09 18 specifically to ecological issues managed by MECP in relation to the Provincial Parks that 10:23:13 19 10:23:15 20 border the claim area of Lake Huron and Georgian 10:23:17 Bay, that could be impacted by a finding of 21 22 Aboriginal title. 10:23:20 23 These ecological issues would include 10:23:22 10:23:24 24 species at risk protection and water testing. 10:23:27 25 He will also speak to recreational uses of the

Page 9743 park along the shore and in the adjacent waters.

Finally, Mr. Chartrand will testify about the American treaty-making experience and why some treaties in the U.S. and Indigenous parties contained a surrender of portions of the beds of the Great Lakes. The concerns of the United States government and the American treaty-making experience, you will hear, are historically different to that of the British.

Now turning our attention to the Treaty claim that involved declarations of fiduciary duty and breach of the honour of the Crown, Dr. Reimer will testify that in the 1800s there was a dramatic increase in the population in Upper Canada; she will testify as to the pressure this put on the Crown to open up lands for settlement.

She will testify that the Crown entered into a number of what are known as Preconfederation Treaties along the shore of Lake Ontario and inland, and that officials generally followed treaty-making guidelines set out in the Royal Proclamation of 1763 and Dorchester Instructions of 1794. Dr. Reimer will discuss treaties 45 and 45 1/2.

Page 9744 10:24:51 She will testify that Lieutenant 1 10:24:52 Governor Bond Head in 1836 convened a general 10:24:56 council and proposed two treaties, first to the 10:24:59 4 Ottawa and Ojibwe of Manitoulin Island and, 10:25:03 5 second, to the Ojibwe of Saugeen and Nawash. 10:25:07 Although he had no formal instructions 6 10:25:09 7 from London he nevertheless used the opportunity of the distribution of the annual presents at 10:25:11 8 9 10:25:14 Manitoulin to convene a Treaty Council. 10:25:17 10 His vision was to have all Indians 10:25:19 11 centralized on territories away from white settlement so that they could continue in their 10:25:22 12 10:25:24 13 customary way of life, protected from the 10:25:26 14 negative influences of white settlers. Although 10:25:29 15 the Crown rejected this as a policy it 10:25:33 16 nevertheless ratified the Treaty. Dr. Reimer will describe that at the 10:25:41 17 10:25:43 18 same time the Chiefs of Saugeen and Nawash were 10:25:45 19 asked if they would surrender their lands and 10:25:48 20 remove to Manitoulin Island. The Saugeen and 10:25:52 Nawash rejected this proposal and stated that 21 22 they would surrender 1.5 million acres south of 10:25:54 23 the Bruce Peninsula on the condition that the 10:25:58 10:26:00 24 peninsula be reserved as Indian territory. 10:26:04 25 One interpretation of this promise was

Page 9745 10:26:06 that the Crown would protect cultivated land for 1 the use of the communities forever. 10:26:08 2. 10:26:12 You will hear evidence from Dr. Reimer 10:26:14 4 that between 1836 and 1854 SON advocated for the 10:26:19 5 creation of a manual labour school and 10:26:21 encouraged other communities to join them on 6 10:26:24 7 this reserve. You will also hear that when it became 10:26:25 8 9 10:26:27 clear that this was not -- would not materialize 10:26:30 10 and the other communities were not going to join 10:26:33 11 that they agreed to surrender their lands on the 10:26:36 12 Bruce Peninsula in Treaty 72. 10:26:40 13 In addition to the realization that no 10:26:42 14 manual labour school was going to be built, you 10:26:44 15 will hear that the First Nations in the summer 10:26:46 16 of 1854 became concerned about squatting on the 10:26:51 17 peninsula. Similar to the evidence of Professor 10:26:52 18 10:26:55 19 Driben, Dr. Reimer will testify that there is no 10:26:59 20 comprehensive quantitative account available that would tell us how many squatters may have 10:27:02 21 22 taken up land and resided on it, although there 10:27:05 23 is evidence of settlers extracting resources 10:27:09 10:27:12 24 such as timber. Dr. Reimer will testify that she found 10:27:14 25

Page 9746 10:27:18 very few examples of actual squatting between 1 2 1840 and the signing of Treaty 72. 10:27:22 10:27:27 3 It was not until the summer of 1854 10:27:30 4 that concerns about the risk of actual squatting 10:27:34 5 began to escalate. In particular the big land 10:27:38 sale at Southampton in September of 1854, of 6 lands that had been surrendered already in 1836 10:27:42 7 caused concern that there would be increased 10:27:46 8 9 10:27:49 pressure for lands on the peninsula. 10:27:53 10 This evidence is relevant when 11 considering whether the Crown in fact breached 10:27:54 10:27:57 12 its promise to protect the peninsula, and also 10:28:00 13 to the issue of whether it was reasonable to 10:28:02 14 criticize the Crown for not engaging police or 10:28:05 15 the military for the purpose of protecting the 10:28:07 16 peninsula. This raises Donald Graves' evidence. 10:28:09 17 10:28:14 18 Mr. Graves will testify that even if there were 10:28:18 19 evidence of actual squatting the Crown would 10:28:19 20 have been reluctant to utilize the military 10:28:23 against civilians; he will also testify that the 21 22 Crown simply did not have the military resources 10:28:25 10:28:28 23 that would have been required to patrol such a 10:28:30 24 long boundary. Dr. Reimer will also testify about 10:28:32 25

		• •
10:28:35	1	Page 9747 Indian Superintendent Anderson's attempt to
10:28:39	2	secure a surrender of the Bruce Peninsula in
10:28:41	3	August 1854. She will testify that
10:28:45	4	Mr. Anderson's behaviour when he suggested that
10:28:48	5	the Crown might simply take the land was not
10:28:51	6	appropriate.
10:28:52	7	However, she will also testify that
10:28:54	8	the communities had the strength to resist the
10:28:57	9	proposal and, in fact, made a counterproposal.
10:28:59	10	In the end no treaty was agreed to in August
10:29:03	11	1854.
10:29:06	12	Dr. Reimer will testify that when
10:29:09	13	Superintendent General Oliphant attended at
10:29:11	14	Saugeen in October 1854 he tried to keep the
10:29:16	15	Nawash and Saugeen Chiefs from convening
10:29:19	16	together prior to hearing his proposal in
10:29:22	17	council.
10:29:24	18	However, she will also testify that
10:29:27	19	they were present together during the council
10:29:30	20	and met together following the council in
10:29:33	21	private.
10:29:36	22	Dr. Reimer will testify about the
10:29:38	23	focus of concerns expressed by the Saugeen
10:29:41	24	community at the Treaty Council and in the
10:29:44	25	subsequent years following the making of the

10:29:46 1 Treaty. SON was primarily concerned with 10:29:48 2. 10:29:50 maximizing the revenue from the sale of land. 10:29:54 4 They proposed, the SON proposed, that a 10:29:57 5 condition of settlement be imposed on land 10:30:00 sales, and at one point suggested lowering the 6 10:30:03 7 price of land. Dr. Reimer will testify that SON had 10:30:05 8 10:30:08 9 their own objectives for agreeing to enter into 10:30:12 10 a treaty. 10:30:15 11 Dr. Reimer will finally testify that there are no documented complaints by SON that 10:30:17 12 10:30:21 13 the Treaty proceedings were rushed, that 10:30:24 14 proceedings were not conducted according to 10:30:26 15 custom, or that the treaty did not reflect SON's 10:30:29 16 intention to sell the lands. 10:30:32 17 To this day SON maintains that the 10:30:35 18 treaty is legally valid. 10:30:39 19 Ontario reiterates that there was no 10:30:41 20 failure to implement Treaty 45 1/2 and protect 10:30:44 the peninsula; that the threat of squatting did 21 not rise to a level of real concern until 10:30:48 22 23 shortly before the Treaty was entered into in 10:30:51 1854; that the communities were not under duress 10:30:53 24 10:30:56 25 when they signed Treaty 72; that whatever

		DAT 70 VOL 70 011 January 17, 2020
10:31:00	1	Page 9749 concerns one may have about Crown conduct those
10:31:03	2	concerns do not rise to the level of a breach of
10:31:06	3	the honour of the Crown or breach of fiduciary
10:31:07	4	duty; and they did not cause SON to enter into
10:31:13	5	the Treaty.
10:31:16	6	SON, exercising its own agency, had
10:31:19	7	its own reasons and own objectives for entering
10:31:21	8	into Treaty 72.
10:31:26	9	Your Honour, that concludes Ontario's
10:31:28	10	opening statement.
10:31:31	11	Our first witness, as we have
10:31:33	12	discussed, will be available Monday morning and
10:31:34	13	that will where Professor Chartrand.
10:31:37	14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
10:31:39	15	MR. FELICIANT: Thank you, Your
10:31:40	16	Honour.
10:31:44	17	THE COURT: It's probably too soon for
10:31:50	18	me to ask if any progress has been made on the
10:31:53	19	schedule that we talked about yesterday. But I
10:31:55	20	would ask counsel if perhaps by email, by end of
10:31:59	21	the day Monday, indicate when next week you
10:32:03	22	would be available to have a discussion about
10:32:05	23	the schedule. It doesn't have any impact on
10:32:09	24	Monday but I would like not to get too far away
10:32:13	25	from that. Is there anything that anyone wishes

	Page 9750
10:32:16 1	to address before we adjourn to Monday?
2	Whereupon the proceedings were
3	adjourned at 10:32 a.m.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Dama 0754
1	Page 9751 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, HELEN MARTINEAU, CSR, Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter, certify;
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein
7	set forth;
8	That the testimony of the witness and
9	all objections made at the time of the
10	examination were recorded stenographically by me
11	[Note: Not all quotes have been verified
12	against source document, but transcribed as
13	read into the record];
14	That the foregoing is a true and
15	accurate transcript of my shorthand notes so
16	taken. Dated this 22nd day of January 2020.
17	
18	atrantina
19	CIIII ROCCIO
20	PER: HELEN MARTINEAU
21	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index:	1	.Bond
--------	---	-------

1	29th 9731:5,18	9745:20	agreements 9736:8 9737:16,	9740:19
1 9731:7	3	acres 9744:22	21 9738:7 9742:6,13	attackers 9741:13
1.5 9744:22	3 9728:19	Act 9728:18,20 9729:3	agrees 9740:17	attacks
1/2 9736:9 9743:25 9748:20	34511 9731:13	action 9729:12,19 9730:8,12,22	alliance 9741:15	9741:11 attempt 9747:1
10:03 9727:1		9733:16,19 9735:1,13,14	alliances 9740:13	attended 9747:13
10:32 9750:3 1690s 9739:19	45 9736:9 9743:25 9748:20	actual 9728:6, 17 9729:2 9746:1,4,19	American 9734:11,14,20 9740:6 9741:7,8	attention 9743:10
1756 9735:11 1760s 9739:23	4506 9727:23, 24	addition 9740:22	9743:3,7 analyze	August 9747:3,10
1763 9740:25 9741:12 9743:23	4507 9728:10, 11 4508 9728:24,	9745:13 Additionally 9736:17	9734:19 analyzed 9736:4	autonomous 9740:9 awareness
1794 9743:24 1800s 9743:13	25 4509 9730:4,5	address 9734:13 9750:1	analyzing 9740:20	9740:2
1812 9741:3,6,	4510 9730:18,	addressed 9730:25 9735:24	Anderson's 9747:1,4	B basis 9735:7
1814 9741:7,10 1820s 9740:1	4511 9731:14	9738:14	annual 9744:8	Bay 9733:20
1830s 9740:5	6	addressing 9736:14	9729:6,15,17 9730:6,11,20	9737:2 9740:2 9742:2,21
836 9744:2 9745:4 9746:7 840 9746:2	67 9736:10	adjacent 9743:1 adjourn	anthropologi st 9734:8	beds 9733:20 9734:12,16 9739:12 9743:
854 9745:4,16 9746:3,6 9747:3,11,14 9748:24	7 72 9734:17 9736:10,13	9750:1 adjourned 9750:3	9735:19 anthropology 9735:20 applies 9738:8	began 9746:5 Beggs 9727:2 3,15 9728:2,6, 14 9729:5,16
1 859 9731:5,18	9745:12 9746:2 9748:25 9749:8	admitted 9727:18 advocated	approximatel y 9739:19	9730:9,23 9731:20,24
909 9727:19, 25	A	9745:4 agency 9749:6	archival 9731:6	begins 9728:18 behaviour
954 9728:16 9729:2	a.m. 9727:1 9750:3 ability 9740:22	agreed 9745:11 9747:10	area 9733:25 9735:11 9736:6, 22 9742:20	9747:4 big 9738:18
9th 9740:25	Aboriginal 9733:19 9736:1 9739:12 9742:9,	agreeing 9748:9	areas 9737:4,5 arranged	9746:5 binational 9737:14 9738:
2012 9728:4,12	16,22 access 9737:3	agreement 9728:3,12 9738:1 9741:25	9729:8 arrive 9739:18	boarding 9741:9
27 9731:7	9740:23	0.00.10.11.20	assert 9734:15	Bond 9744:2

clear 9745:9 **border** 9737:1 conduct **costly** 9741:13 9727:5 9732:21 9742:20 9749:1 captured closina council boundary 9741:9 9731:22,25 conducted 9744:3,9 9727:19,25 9748:14 9747:17,19,20, Caroline cognizable 9746:24 24 9736:19 9738:3 9733:22 consent Bowman 9742:10 9727:14,18 counsel collaboration 9727:6,12 9739:8 9749:20 carried 9737:14 9742:6, Conservation 9731:23,25 9736:22 9738:4 counterpropo 9737:22 13 breach 9742:11 **sal** 9747:9 cartographic commencing 9733:17,18 9738:10 Consistent 9727:1 County 9731:6 9743:12 9749:2, 9741:19 **case** 9731:22 Comments court 9727:2,4, 9732:1 9733:11 9735:4 contact 10,22 9728:5,9, breached 9734:1 9739:6 9740:18 23 9729:14,22, commercial 9735:15 25 9730:3,14,17 9746:11 category 9738:1 9741:25 contained 9731:10,12,21 9732:20 9743:5 bringing commercially 9732:2,15,25 9732:6,8 caused 9746:8 9742:1 contested 9739:3.10 9741:4 9749:14,17 **British** centralized Commission 9734:20 9728:15 9729:1 context 9744:11 Craiq 9731:4, 9739:23 9734:20 17 **Centre** 9731:7 communities 9740:25 9741:3. 9740:4,9 continue create 9739:1 5,9,11,15 century 9741:22 9745:2, 9741:17 9743:9 9734:23 creation 6,10 9747:8 9744:12 9740:25 9745:5 Brownlie 9748:24 continuous 9736:15 cession criticize community 9736:2 9738:13 9736:8 9746:14 9747:24 contrast **Bruce** 9731:6 chance crosscommunity's 9734:16 9739:17,19 9729:23 examination 9740:20 9744:23 control 9738:13 changed 9745:12 9747:2 complaints 9740:23 9741:3 9733:14 Crown 9748:12 **built** 9745:14 controlled 9733:18 **chart** 9732:11 comprehensi 9741:6 9735:15 9736:8 9733:2,7 ve 9745:20 9743:13,16,18 C convene Chartrand 9744:15 9745:1 9744:9 comprised 9734:5.7 9743:2 9746:11,14,19, 9734:2 9735:21 call 9727:8 9749:13 convened 22 9747:5 9744:2 concern 9749:1,3 called 9727:5, **charts** 9732:11 9746:8 9748:22 12 convening cultivated Chiefs 9744:18 9747:15 concerned **calling** 9734:1 9745:1 9747:15 9745:16 9748:2 9736:17 Convention cultural civilians 9728:18,20 concerns 9731:7 9735:20 Canada 9746:21 9729:3 9743:6 9746:4 9728:7 9729:10, custom **claim** 9735:8 9747:23 9749:1. 18 9730:7,11,21 conventional 9748:15 9736:5 9739:12 9731:9,25 2 9738:18 9742:20 9732:12,18 customary concludes 9743:11 **Copy** 9727:24 9733:22 9744:13 9749:9 9728:11 9734:24 claimed condition 9743:15 9733:25 core 9738:25 9744:23 9748:5 9739:1,14 Canada's

	documents 9729:12	engaging 9746:14	exercising 9749:6	federal 9737:14,16
dated 9728:16 9729:1	9738:17,20 dominated 9741:1,7	English 9731:3,15 enter 9748:9	exhibit 9727:21,23,24 9728:8,10,11,	Feliciant 9732:4,5,22 9733:10 9739:7,
day 9748:17 9749:21 day-to-day	Donald 9734:6 9735:2 9740:24 9746:17	9749:4 entered 9743:19	16,21,24,25 9729:20 9730:4, 5,13,18,19 9731:8,13,14	11 9749:15 fiduciary 9733:17 9743:12 9749:3
9740:12 December 9731:5,18	Dorchester 9743:24 dramatic	9748:23 entering 9749:7	9732:13 9733:5, 6,7 exhibits	9743:12 9749:3 finally 9735:18 9738:10 9743:2 9748:11
decision 9739:2 decisions	9743:14 Driben 9736:16	entitled 9733:2,7 Environment	9727:8 9729:9 expansion 9740:7	finding 9742:8,15,21
9740:10 declarations 9743:11	9740:17 9745:19 drive 9739:14	9736:21 9738:4 9742:11 Eric 9735:6	experience 9743:3,8 expert	fish 9742:1 fisheries 9728:15,17,20
depended 9740:14	driven 9739:20 driving 9739:2	escalate 9746:5	9732:10,23 9733:3,9 9734:9 9735:4,5,18,21	9729:1,3 9741:23 fisheries'
describe 9744:17 determine	duress 9748:24 duties 9735:15	Esquire 9731:4,17 ethnohistoria	9736:14 9738:11 expertise	9738:2 fishing 9738:1 9741:25
9735:25 difficulties 9730:25	duty 9733:17 9743:12 9749:4	n 9734:8 ethnohistory	9735:19 experts 9732:19 9734:2,	flow 9737:20 focus 9735:3
director 9737:10,11	E	9735:20 evidence 9731:23,25	4 9739:6 expressed 9747:23	9747:23 focused 9736:7,23
dires 9739:5 discuss 9737:3 9743:25	early 9740:25 ecological 9742:18,23	9732:9 9733:3, 8,23 9734:25 9735:12,25 9736:12,15,23	extracted 9731:5	Forestry 9737:8 9742:4
discussed 9749:12	ecosystem 9737:22	9737:19 9738:16,25 9741:19,24	extracting 9745:23	forever 9745:2 formal 9744:6 forming
discussion 9749:22 distill 9738:24	efforts 9737:5 email 9749:20	9745:3,18,23 9746:10,17,19 evidentiary	F fact 9732:23	9732:14 forts 9739:25
9739:13 distribution 9744:8	employed 9737:24 encouraged	9727:7 examples 9734:15 9746:1	9733:4,9 9736:18 9746:11 9747:9	found 9745:25 fourth 9736:11
document 9728:1,13,19 9729:4 9730:8,	9745:6 encroachmen t 9735:17	excerpt 9729:14,16	facts 9738:20, 25 9739:13	friend 9731:1
22,24 9731:2,18 9732:7	end 9747:10 9749:20	9730:5,10,19 9731:2,14 exclusive	failure 9748:20 families 9740:3	general 9744:2 9747:13
documented 9748:12	endeavour 9740:15	9733:24 9735:13	February 9727:6	generally 9741:12

9743:22 **hear** 9738:25 implementati interpreter **lake** 9733:20 9739:17 9743:8 9731:4.16 9734:11.16 on 9736:13 George 9745:3.8.15 9736:5 9737:1 9740:22 interrogatorie important 9739:12 heard 9741:20 9740:16,20 **s** 9729:7,11 Georgian 9740:21 9741:6. 9741:22 9733:20 9737:1 hearing 8,21 9742:2,20 interrogatory 9742:2,20 9747:16 9743:21 imposed 9729:18 9730:7, 9748:5 11.20 held 9731:6 **Lakes** 9728:3, give 9732:15, 12,15,17,20,25 25 include involved helpful 9729:3 9734:12, 9737:19 9743:11 Good 9732:5 9732:10,17 16,22 9735:10 9742:23 Iroquois Gould Hinderaker 9737:15 9738:2. including 9739:20 9736:18,20 9735:6 9736:15 8 9741:2.16 9734:11,23 9742:8,15 9742:17 **Island** 9744:4, historian 9740:6 9743:6 20 government 9735:2 increase land 9736:7 9737:17 9743:7 **issue** 9735:13, historic 9743:14 9745:1,22 14 9746:13 9736:3 9739:16 governments 9746:5 9747:5 increased 9737:18 9740:21 **issues** 9734:5 9748:3,5,7 9746:8 9737:23 Governor historical lands 9736:5 **Indian** 9744:24 9742:18,23 9744:2 9730:24 9743:16 9747:1 9734:10 9735:7 item 9730:23 9744:19 **Graves** 9734:6 **Indians** 9745:11 9746:7, 9735:2 9740:24 historically **items** 9729:5 9744:10 9 9748:16 9746:18 9743:9 Indigenous **Graves'** large 9738:16 history J 9734:21 9735:3,10 9746:17 larger 9738:21 9739:24 hold 9738:22 **Great** 9728:3, 9741:11.16 Jean-**Lastly** 9736:11 11,14,17,20,25 9743:4 phillippe honour law 9733:22 9729:2 9734:12, 9734:5,7 9727:4,16,21 individual 16,22 9735:10 lawyers 9729:21 9737:17 Jennifer 9737:14 9738:2, 9738:15 9731:20 9732:6. 9736:18 9737:7 8 9741:1,16 influences 8 9733:10,18 9738:5 9742:3 lay 9734:3 9742:8,15 9744:14 9743:12 9749:3, 9743:6 **join** 9745:6,10 **left** 9740:5 9.16 information Greg 9736:19 9739:24 iudges **Huron** 9733:20 legally 9738:10 9738:15 9737:1 9740:21 9748:18 **initial** 9733:13 groups 9741:6,8,9,21 lettered inland 9743:21 9739:24 9742:2,20 Κ 9732:13 9733:4, instructions auidelines 9743:24 9744:6 9743:22 ı **K-3** 9733:6,7 level 9748:22 intention **Gwen** 9734:6 9749:2 **key** 9738:19 9738:23 impact Lieutenant 9748:16 **Keys** 9736:18 9749:23 9744:1 Н 9737:7 9738:5 interest impacted 9742:3 life 9744:13 9740:16 9742:8,15,21 Harring lived 9739:24 international 9735:6 9736:16 impermanent L 9727:17 9738:6 9740:14 location **Head** 9744:2 9742:5,12 9740:18 implement labour 9745:5, health 9727:13 interpretation 9748:20 London 14 9744:25 9744:7

long 0740:04	material	move 0700:0	0740,0 0740,7	Ottawa 9744:4
long 9746:24	9737:19	move 9739:3	9748:9 9749:7	
Lower 9741:1		Museum	obligation	outline 9732:9
lowering	materialize	9731:6	9737:20	9733:3,8
9748:6	9745:9	Mushett	Observations	owed 9735:16
	matter 9733:11	9736:19	9735:5	
M	9738:18	9737:24	occupation	Р
	matters	9741:24	9733:24 9736:1,	·
M.B. 9731:3,16	9740:12		5 9739:17	pages 9731:7
·	maximizing	N	9740:21	
made 9727:21	9748:3		occupied	park 9743:1
9728:8,21 9730:12 9731:8	MECP	narrative	9740:2	parks 9736:22
9733:12	9742:10,17,19	9739:1,14	October	9737:1 9738:4
9741:21 9747:9		national	9747:14	9742:11,19
9749:18	MECP's 9742:14	9742:5,12	officials	part 9732:14
Madwayosh		Nations	9739:23	participation
9731:3,16	members	9741:16	9743:21	9740:13,15
maintains	9737:4	9745:15	Ojibwe 9731:2,	parties 9741:9
9748:17	met 9747:20	Natural	15 9739:18	9743:5
	mid-18th	9737:8,25	9740:2,9,18	0
major 9739:25	9734:22	9742:4	9744:4,5	patrol 9746:23
make 9733:24	mid-19th	naval 9741:1	Oliphant	peninsula
making	9734:23		9747:13	9735:17 9736:1
9738:12		Nawash 9740:3,4	Ontario	9739:17,19
9740:10	military 9735:3,10	9741:22 9744:5,	9732:3 9733:10	9744:23,24 9745:12,17
9747:25	9746:15,20,22	18,21 9747:15	9734:1 9736:17	9746:9,12,16
managed		negative	9737:7 9739:21	9747:2 9748:21
9742:18	million 9744:22	9744:14	9743:21	peoples
management			9748:19	9734:21
9737:15,22,23	ministry	notes 9739:4	Ontario's	periods
9738:2,8	9736:21 9737:8, 25 9738:4,9	number	9732:9 9733:3,	9736:3 9741:2
9742:7,14	9742:3,10	9727:23 9728:1,	8,16 9739:1	
manager		13,24 9729:4 9730:18,22	9749:9	pertains 9734:25
9737:9 9738:3	ministry's 9736:24 9737:5,	9731:18	open 9733:11	9735:12,14
Manitoulin	13,20	9743:19	9743:16	·
9744:4,9,20	MNRF 9738:11		opening	plaintiffs 9729:6 9732:12,
manner	9742:3	0	9732:3,14	18 9733:23
9738:5			9733:13	9734:15
manual	MNRF's	O'NEIL	9738:23 9749:10	plaintiffs'
9745:5,14	9742:7	9736:19 9738:3		9729:15,17
,	moment	9742:10	opportunity	9730:6,10,20
maps 9738:12	9732:16,25	objecting	9744:7	9732:21,23
mark 9732:13	Monday	9729:25	order 9727:12	9735:7
9736:19	9749:12,21,24	objection	organization	pleased
9737:24 9741:24	9750:1	9730:15,16	9737:11	9733:11
	morning	9731:10,11	originally	point 9748:6
marked	9727:2,3 9732:5	9739:8	9728:15 9729:1	-
9729:9	9749:12	objectives		points 9740:23

police 9746:14	proceeding 9734:9	protohistoric 9739:16	realization 9745:13	relations 9734:20
9734:24 policy 9740:7	proceedings 9748:13,14	provide 9736:23	reasonable 9746:13	relevant 9746:10
9744:15	9750:2	9741:24	reasons	relief 9733:21
politically 9740:9	Proclamation 9743:23	provided 9732:11,19 9733:23	9727:13 9740:6 9749:7	relocated 9740:4
population 9743:14	Professor 9727:6,12 9731:23,25	9735:21 Province	recall 9732:17 record	reluctant 9746:20
portions 9743:5	9731:23,23 9734:6 9735:2,6 9738:13	9737:16 Provincial	9727:11 9731:6 recreational	remaining 9727:7
position 9733:16,21	9740:17 9745:18 9749:13	9736:25 9742:19	9742:25 reference	reminder 9733:15
possibly 9737:10	Professor's 9736:15	provisions 9734:10,14	9736:9 reflect 9748:15	removal 9740:7
Potawotami 9740:3	progress 9749:18	public 9737:4 purpose	regard 9740:17	remove 9744:20
power 9741:1	promise	9746:15	region 9734:22	report 9734:9,
Preconfedera	9744:25 9746:12	purposes 9741:18	Registrar 9727:22,23	13 9735:4,9,21 9736:12
tion 9743:20 prehistoric 9736:2 9739:16	promotion 9737:12	put 9727:11 9743:16	9728:9,10,23,24 9730:3,4,18	Reports 9735:5
prepared 9734:9 9735:4	proposal 9744:21 9747:9,	Q Q	9731:13 9733:2, 6	required 9746:23
present 9747:19	16 propose	Quality	Reimer 9734:6 9735:19,21 9738:14	research 9734:10
presented 9736:12	9733:12 proposed	9728:3,12 quantitative	9739:15,22 9740:8 9741:20 9743:13,24	9735:23 reserve
presents 9744:8	9739:9 9744:3 9748:4	9745:20 question	9744:17 9745:3, 19,25 9746:25	9745:7 reserved 9744:24
preserve 9737:5	protect 9745:1 9746:12 9748:20	9735:23 questions	9747:12,22 9748:8,11	resided 9745:22
pressure	protected	9729:18 9730:7, 11,21	Reimer's 9736:12	resist 9747:8
9743:16 9746:9 price 9748:7	9736:22 9744:13	R	reiterates 9748:19	Resource 9737:25
primarily 9734:25 9748:2	protecting 9746:15	raise 9731:1	rejected 9744:15,21	resources
primary 9735:23	protection 9735:16	raises 9746:17	related 9737:20	9737:8,9 9742:4 9745:23 9746:22
9741:20	9742:24	ratified 9744:16	relating	respect
prior 9747:16	protects 9742:1	read 9729:8	9738:7 9740:11	9736:25 9737:15,22
private 9747:21	protocols 9734:24	real 9748:22	relation 9735:16 9739:5 9742:7,14,19	responsible 9740:10

revenue	scale 9738:21	sir 9731:12,22	States 9728:7	9739:9
9748:3	schedule	9749:14	9737:17 9740:5	terms 9734:17
review	9728:17 9729:2	sit 9731:21	9741:4 9743:7	territories
9729:23	9749:19,23	SON 9736:8	statute	9744:11
9735:10	school 9745:5,	9738:1 9741:25	9728:18 9729:4	territory
revisit 9733:12	14	9745:4 9748:2,	strength	9740:11
rise 9748:22	section 9737:9	4,8,12,17 9749:4,6	9747:8	9744:24
9749:2	secure 9747:2		subject	testify
risk 9737:6	sell 9748:16	SON's 9748:15	9731:23,24	9739:15,22
9742:24 9746:4		south 9744:22	submissions	9740:8,24
role 9737:13	sensitive 9737:5	Southampton	9733:12	9741:20 9742:4,
9738:11 9742:7,		9746:6	subnational	12 9743:2,13, 15,18 9744:1
14	separate	southern	9738:7 9742:6,	9745:19,25
Ron 9736:18,20	9729:12	9739:20	13	9746:18,21,25
9742:17	separated	Southhampto	subsequent	9747:3,7,12,18, 22 9748:8,11
Royal 9743:23	9729:11	n 9731:4,17	9747:25	,
rushed	September 9741:10 9746:6	sovereignty	sufficient 9733:23	testimony 9734:13 9735:9
9748:13		9740:19	9735:25	
	set 9743:22	speak 9734:4		testing 9742:24
S	settlement	9737:13,25	sufficiently 9740:16	
	9743:17	9738:6,11		things 9727:11
sailing	9744:12 9748:5	9742:17,25	suggested 9747:4 9748:6	threat 9748:21
9741:11	settlers 9744:14	specialist	euggesting	timber 9745:24
sale 9746:6	9744:14	9736:23	suggesting 9739:4	time 9744:18
9748:3		species		title 9729:12
sales 9748:6	ships 9741:8	9737:6 9742:24	summary 9732:17,21,23	9730:12,21
Saugeen	shore 9743:1,	specific	summer	9733:19 9735:1,
9736:1 9740:3,4	20	9736:9	9745:15 9746:3	12 9739:12
9741:22 9744:5, 18,20 9747:14,	shorelines 9736:24	specifically	Superintende	9742:9,16,22
15,23		9742:18	nt 9747:1,13	titled 9734:10
SC1299	short 9741:2	squatters		9735:4
9727:20 9728:1	shortly	9745:21	surrender 9734:18 9743:5	Townshend
SC1302	9748:23	squatting	9744:19,22	9729:22,24 9730:2,15,16
9728:4,13	Sidney 9735:6	9745:16 9746:1, 4,19 9748:21	9745:11 9747:2	9731:11
SC1305	signed	start 9732:6	surrendered	treaties
9728:21 9729:4	9748:25	9739:11	9746:7	9727:17
SC1614	signing	Starting	surrenders	9734:11,14,19
9729:13 9730:8	9746:2	9736:20	9734:11,15	9743:4,20,25
SC1615	Sikma 9736:19	State 9737:18		9744:3
9730:9,22	9738:10	_	Т	treaty 9727:19, 25 9728:2,5,6,
SC1664	similar	stated 9744:21		18 9729:11,18
9732:7	9732:11 9738:5 9745:18	statement	talk 9738:15	9730:8 9733:16
SC1669		9733:13 9735:8 9749:10	talked 9749:19	9734:17,23
9730:24	simply	3143.10	tenders	9735:14 9736:9, 10,13 9743:11
9731:19	9746:22 9747:5			10,10 37 70.11

	January 17, 2020		index. treaty-makingyesterd
9744:9,16 9745:12 9746:2 9747:10,24 9748:1,10,13, 15,18,20,23,25 9749:5,8 treaty- making 9743:3,8,22 trial 9738:19,20	voir 9739:5 volume 9735:24 9736:4, 7,11 volumes 9735:22 voluminous 9738:24	years 9747:25 yesterday 9749:19	maox. troaty makingyoutora
trials 9738:16			
true 9738:22 turning 9743:10	War 9741:2,6, 14 warships		
	9741:7		
U .S. 9734:25 9743:4	water 9728:3, 12 9737:9,23 9742:24 waters		
understandin g 9739:7	9727:19,25 9736:5,25 9740:23		
United 9728:7 9737:17 9740:5 9741:4 9743:7	9741:17 9743:1 week 9749:21		
unsuccessful 9741:12	western 9740:7		
Upper 9734:24 9738:2 9741:1, 16 9743:15 utilize 9741:17 9746:20	white 9744:11, 14 Williamson 9736:16		
	wisdom 9738:18		
V	wishes 9731:1 9749:25		
valid 9748:18 version 9728:3,13 vessels 9741:12	witnesses 9732:10,24 9733:4,9 9734:2,3 9735:18 9736:18		
view 9739:2	work 9736:21, 24 9737:21		
villages 9740:2	works 9737:7		
vision 9744:10 vocabulary	written 9729:6, 17 9730:6		